ORIGINAL ARTICLE _

Effects of shRNA-silenced livin and survivin on lung cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis

Xin Zhao¹, Yan Yuan², Zhiqiang Zhang¹, Xianjun Feng¹, Jian Zhang³, Xiaomei Yuan¹, Jinlan Li¹ ¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, ²Department of Neurology, ³Department of Paediatrics, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, Weihui, P.R., China

Summary

Purpose: To evaluate the effects of short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated silencing of livin and survivin on the proliferation and apoptosis of A549 lung cancer cells.

Methods: We designed and constructed the eukaryotic expression vectors pSilencer-livin and pSilencer-survivin which contain the Livin and Survivin genes, respectively, and transfected them into liposome-combined lung cancer A549 cells. The cells were then divided into the blank control, plasmid control, Livin, Survivin, and co-transfected groups. Real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) and Western blot assay were used to determine the mRNA and protein expression levels of Livin and Survivin. The MTT assay was used to evaluate the changes in cell proliferation. The TUNEL assay was used to evaluate the apoptotic rate. **Results:** The shRNA eukaryotic expression vectors of Livin and Survivin were successfully constructed. The mRNA and protein expression of Livin and Survivin were significantly lower in the co-transfected group than in the control groups (p<0.05). At 48, 60, and 72 hrs after transfection, the cell growth inhibition rate was significantly higher in the co-transfected group than in the single-transfected group (p<0.05). At 48 hrs after transfection, the apoptotic rate significantly increased (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Co-silencing of Livin and Survivin can effectively inhibit the cell proliferation and apoptosis of lung cancer cells.

Key words: Livin, lung cancer A549 cell, short hairpin RNA (shRNA), Survivin

Introduction

Lung cancer is a common malignant tumor representing a great health problem. This disease ranks first among males and third among females and accounts for 33.3% of the overall cancer mortality [1-3]. Although progress has been made in lung cancer surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, the 5-year survival rate of this disease remains less than 10% and has not shown significant improvement [4,5]. Tumorigenesis and development are caused by several factors, including cell biological behavior changes, which are major contributors to tumor evolution and progression. In tumor development, two or more changes in gene expression often synergize in the behavioral changes in cell biology [6-8]. RNA interference (RNAi), a vital research tool in tumor therapy, is used to reduce tumor gene expression in order to modify the biological activities of tumor cells [9-11]. Livin and Survivin are members of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein (IAP) family, which is highly expressed in lung cancer cells and exerts antiapoptotic effects through the IAP repeat domain (baculorius-IAP repeat). These two genes may exhibit synergistic effects on the occurrence, development, and metastasis of lung cancer [12-14].

In this study, we designed and constructed shRNA eukaryotic expression vectors of Livin and Survivin to silence the expression of Livin and Survivin alone or combined with the RNAi method. The effects of the shRNA eukaryotic expression vectors on the proliferation and apoptosis of A549 lung tumor cells were then investigated.

Correspondence to: Jinlan Li, MD. Department of Respiratory, Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinxiang Medical University, No. 88 Jiankang Road, Weihui 453100, P.R. China. Tel: +86 0373 4402314, E-mail: jlcnli@163.com Received: 30/01/2014; Accepted: 16/02/2014

Methods

Cell culture

A549 lung cancer cell line (Chinese Academy of Sciences Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology) was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and maintained at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 atmosphere. The adherent cells were passaged after digestion with 0.25% trypsin. Cells at the logarithmic growth phase were used in the experiments.

Vector construction

19 nt siRNA target sequences were selected after searching the full-length mRNA sequence of Livin and Survivin in the NCBI database and on the basis of the shRNA design principles and articles. The sequences are Livin: 5'-GGAAGAGACTTTGTCCACA-3' (648 bp to 666 bp) and Survivin: 5'-GGACCACCGCATCTCTACA-3' (166 bp to 184 bp). The blast analysis ensured that the selected sequences were not homologous with other human gene sequences. BamHI and HindIII restriction sites were designed at both ends of the sequence, and a 9 nt loop sequence (TTCAAGAGA) was designed between the sense and antisense strands. Both sequences were applied to the plasmid vector pSilencer4.1. ShR-NA, which was synthesized with restriction sites at both ends, was directly attached to the digested carrier after annealing. After an overnight reaction of the target fragment to the plasmid vector (3:1) at 16 °C, the harvested product was stored at -20 °C. The ligation product (10 µL) was added to a 100 µL of precooled (0 °C) competent cells for transformation. After transforming, single white colonies were picked and cultured overnight, and the cells were then collected and sequenced.

Cell transfection and grouping

A day before transfection, the cells were digested with trypsin and were then plated in 6-well plates containing DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS at a density of 3×10^5 cells/well. The cells were then cultured for 24 hrs. When cell fusion was approximately 80% (as determined by microscopy), the transfection was performed in accordance with the instructions of the Lipofectamine TM 2000 transfection kit. The cells were subsequently divided into the Livin group (transfected with pSilencer-Livin), Survivin group (transfected with pSilencer-Survivin), co-transfected group (simultaneously transfected with both pSilencer-Livin and pSilencer-Survivin), plasmid control group (no transfection).

Real- time quantitative PCR

At 48 hrs after transfection, total RNA was extracted from each group and reverse-transcripted into cDNA in accordance with the reverse transcription kit instructions. The reaction was performed under the following conditions: 37°C for 15 min, 85°C for 5 sec, and then kept at 4 °C. The primers were synthesized by Shanghai Biological Engineering Co., Ltd. using the following sequences: Livin P1 5'-GGTGAGGTGCT-TCTT CTGCTATGG-3' and P2 5'-GGCTGCGTCTTCCG-GTTCTT-3', amplified fragment length was 199 bp; Survivin sense 5'-CTGTGCTCTTGTTTTGTCTTGA-3' and antisense 5'-CTTCTTCCTCCCTCACTTCTCAC-3', amplified fragment length was 166 bp; and GAPDH sense 5'-AGAAGGCTGGGGGCTCATTTG-3' and antisense 5'-AGGGGCCATCCACAGTCTTC-3', amplified fragment length was 258 bp. SYBR green I real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR was performed on Roche's Light-Cycler 480 under the following reaction conditions: denaturation at 95 °C for 30 sec, 95 °C for 5 sec, and 60 °C for 20 sec for 40 cycles. Melting curve analysis was conducted at 65 °C for 15 sec. A blank control group with no cDNA was established for each group. Relative quantification was performed based on an internal reference standard gene. The amount of relative gene expression was 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT.

MTT

One day before transfection, the cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 5×10^3 cells/well. The cells were divided into the aforementioned groups with 5 wells in each group. At 48, 60, and 72 hrs after transfection, 30 µL of MTT (5 mg/mL) were added to each well after discarding the medium. The cells were then incubated for 4 hrs at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Afterward, 150 µL of DMSO were added into each well, and the cells were shaken for 10 min before the optical densities (ODs) were determined at 490 nm. The cell growth inhibition rate (IR) was calculated using the following equation: IR = (1 - OD value of each experimental group/OD value of the blank control group) × 100%.

Cell apoptosis

At 48 hrs after transfection, the samples were prepared as previously described and then fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde for 4 hrs at room temperature. Groups were then established as previously mentioned. The experiment was performed in accordance with the TUNEL kit instructions. The samples were rinsed once with PBS for 5 min between each step. A negative control was established for each group by replacing the TUNEL reaction buffer with a marker fluid without a terminal deoxynucleic acid transferase. TUNEL staining was then performed on 5 randomly selected horizons from each group under optical microscope. The rate of apoptosis was then calculated.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Stu-

dent's *t*-test data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (*x* \pm s). One-way ANOVA was used to detect significant differences among treatment groups and statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Vector construction

The appearance of 367 and 322 bp bands during the agarose gel electrophoresis of BamHI and Hind III double-digested samples confirmed the construction of the designed vectors (Figure 1). Sequencing confirmed that the recombinant plasmid pSilencer-Livin and pSilencer-Survivin coding sequences were exactly as designed. Restriction enzyme digestion and DNA sequencing results indicated the correct insertion of the shR-NA sequences into the plasmid pSilencer as well as the successful construction of the vectors.

Real-time quantitative PCR

The melting curves of the Livin, Survivin, and GAPDH PCR products peaked at 89.8, 86.1, and 88 °C, respectively. Only a single peak was common in all of the curves, and nonspecific amplification was excluded. At 48 hrs after transfection, the relative Livin mRNA expression levels were 0.539±0.127 (pTasmid group), 0.501±0.115 (Survivin group), 0.393±0.123 (Livin group), and 0.121±0.012 (co-transfected group). The relative Survivin mRNA expression levels were 0.823±0.067 (plasmid group), 0.601±0.125 (Survivin group), 0.593±0.126 (Livin group), and 0.321±0.132 (co-transfected group). The decrease in the relative amount of mRNA was more significant in the co-transfected group than in the single-transfected group (p<0.05, Figure 2). These results demonstrated that A549 cell transfection by pSilencer-Livin and pSilencer-Survivin can specifically silence the expression of the corresponding mRNA. Moreover, co-transfection yielded better results than single transfection.

Western blot assay

Western blot assay was performed to analyze the Livin and Survivin expression. The results showed that 48 hrs after transfection, the relative amounts of Livin in the A549 cells were significantly lower in the co-transfection and Livin groups than in the plasmid and blank control groups (p<0.05). Furthermore, the relative amount of Livin in the co-transfected group was significantly lower than that in the Livin group (p<0.05, Figure 3). The



Figure 1. Electrophoresis of enzyme digested pSilencer-Livin and pSilencer-Survivin vector. M: DNA marker; 1: pSilencer-Livin; 2: pSilencer-Survivin.

Survivin expression was similar to that of Livin, but the relative amounts of Livin in the A549 cells were significantly lower in the co-transfection and Livin groups than in the plasmid and blank control groups (p<0.05). The expression in the co-transfected group was also significantly lower than that in the Livin group (p < 0.05, Figure 4).

MTT

MTT assay results indicated that the cells in each experimental group showed different degrees of growth inhibition. The rate of growth inhibition was significantly higher in the co-transfected group than in the Livin and Survivin groups (p<0.05, Table 1).

TUNEL assay



Figure 2. Relative expression of Livin and Survivin mRNA in A549 lung cancer cells from each group detected by real-time quantitative PCR. **a**:Livin mRNA; **b**:Survivin mRNA. 1:Plasmid control group; 2:Survivin group; 3:Livin group; 4:Co-transfected group. ^ap<0.05 vs the Livin group; ^{abp}<0.05 vs the Survivin group.

The nuclei of apoptotic cells were stained brown, and karyopyknosis, chromatin margination, aggregation, and scattered apoptotic bodies were observed. The results showed that 48 hrs after transfection, the apoptotic rate of the co-transfected group was $52.257\% \pm 4.677\%$ and was significantly higher than those in the Livin group (42.778% \pm 4.312\%) and Survivin group (36.687% $\pm 3.912\%)$ (p<0.05).

Discussion

RNAi is used in gene silencing, in which a specific double-stranded RNA, composed of sense and antisense RNA, is transferred into a cell to degrade the corresponding mRNA and thus to silence the target gene via a post-transcriptional gene silencing mechanism. RNAi can specifically and efficiently block gene expression. Thus the use of RNAi is an economic, efficient, and effective technique for gene function research. Moreover, the RNAi technique has gradually evolved as a tool for gene therapy research on viral diseases, hereditary diseases, and cancer [15-17].

Livin and Survivin, which are members of the IAP family, both participate in the inhibition of cell apoptosis [18]. Livin is highly and specifically expressed in certain tumor tissues and tumor cell lines [19]. Silencing of Livin by a shRNA vector



Figure 3. The relative expression of Livin protein in A549 lung cancer cells from each group detected by Western blot. 1:Blank control group; 2:Plasmid control group; 3:Livin group; 4:Co-transfected group.



Figure 4. Analysis of the relative expression of Survivin protein in A549 lung cancer cells from each group detected by Western blot. 1:Blank control group; 2:Plasmid control group; 3:Survivin group; 4:Co-transfected group.

increases tumor cell apoptosis in glioma, lung cancer, and other tumor cells [19,20]. Meanwhile, Survivin expression is related to decreased tumor apoptotic index, increased transfer rate, shortened overall survival, poor prognosis, and increased relapse rate [21]. The application of shRNA expression vector transfection to ovarian and lung tumor cells can effectively silence the Survivin expression in tumor cells and thus induce tumor apoptosis [22,23].

In this study, we selected target gene sequences from Livin and Survivin mRNA to construct corresponding shRNA eukaryotic expression vectors. The relative mRNA and protein expression were then analyzed using real-time quantitative PCR and Western blotting. The results showed that the mRNA and protein expression in the A549 cells from the co-transfected group were significantly reduced compared with that in the single transfection group (p<0.05). MTF results indicated that the growth inhibition rate was significantly high-

Group	48hrs	60hrs	72hrs
Control	10.893±0.173	7.282±0.456	6.093 ± 0.343
Livin	18.567±0.480 ^a	14.279±0.762 ^a	9.703±1.023 ^a
Survivin	17. 971±0.642 ^a	13.833±0.663 ^a	9.430±0.821ª
Co-transfection	28.043±0.762 ^{ab}	22.193±0.127 ^{ab}	15.093 ± 1.140 ^{ab}

Table 1	. Growth inhi	bition rate ('	%) of A549	lung cancer	cells at d	lifferent times	after transfe	ction of each	group
detected	l by MTT assa	У		-					-

 $^{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05$ vs the control group; $^{\mathrm{b}}\mathrm{p}{<}0.05$ vs the Livin and Survivin group

er in the co-transfection group than in the single-transfection group in the A549 cells. TUNEL assay confirmed that the apoptotic rate of A549 cells increased significantly in the co-transfected group compared with that in the single-transfected group. These results indicate that, compared with single-gene suppression, co-transfection of pSilencer-Livin and pSilencer-Survivin can effectively reduce the Livin and Survivin gene expression in A549 cells and promote A549 apoptosis. In addition, real time quantitative PCR results showed that the inhibition of a gene from the IAP family alone does not promote the expression of another gene. This finding indicates that Livin and Survivin expression may be independent of one another. However, the significantly reduced cell growth inhibition rates and increased apoptosis rate in the co-transfected group compared with those in the single-transfected group indirectly illustrate the synergistic effects of Livin and Survivin on the inhibition of apoptotic mechanisms.

This study confirmed that co-transfection can more effectively inhibit tumor gene expression in A549 cells and induce apoptosis. However, further studies must be conducted on the mechanisms of interaction and the specific binding sites of Livin and Survivin on a molecular level, such as in the apoptotic pathway.

References

- Savas P, Hughes B, Solomon B. Targeted therapy in lung cancer: IPASS and beyond, keeping abreast of the explosion of targeted therapies for lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2013;5:S579-S592.
- Marshall HM, Bowman RV, Yang IA, Fong KM, Berg CD. Screening for lung cancer with low-dose computed tomography: a review of current status. J Thorac Dis 2013;5:S524-S539.
- Yin PH, Liu X, Qiu YY et al. Anti-tumor activity and apoptosis-regulation mechanisms of bufalin in various cancers: new hope for cancer patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012;13:5339-5343.
- 4. Luo D, Ye X, Hu Z et al. EGFR mutation status and its impact on survival of Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases. Tumour Biol 2013; 35:2437-2444.
- 5. Lin G, Li Y, Chen S, Jiang H. Integrated Chinese-western therapy versus western therapy alone on survival rate in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer at middle-late stage. J Tradit Chin Med 2013;33:433-438.
- Xu W, Chang H, Qin CK, Zhai YP. Impact of Co-transfection with Livin and Survivin shRNA Expression Vectors on Biological Behavior of HepG2 Cells. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013;14:5467-5472.
- Liu Z, Guo Y, Li J, Xu J, Liu B. Cotransfection of survivin and CD44v3 short hairpin RNAs affects prolifer-

ation, apoptosis, and invasiveness of colorectal cancer. Dig Dis Sci 2013;58:1590-1601.

- 8. Yang JY, Li X, Gao L, Teng ZH, Liu WC. Co-transfection of dendritic cells with AFP and IL-2 genes enhances the induction of tumor antigen-specific antitumor immunity. Exp Ther Med 2012;4:655-660.
- 9. Sibley CR, Seow Y, Wood MJ. Novel RNA-based strategies for therapeutic gene silencing. Mol Ther 2010;18:466-476.
- Yu J, Zhao L, Li Y et al. Silencing of Fanconi anemia complementation group f exhibits potent chemosensitization of mitomycin C activity in breast cancer cells. J Breast Cancer 2013;16:291-299.
- 11. Feng S, Zhu G, McConnell M et al. Silencing of atp6v1c1 prevents breast cancer growth and bone metastasis. Int J Biol Sci 2013;9:853-862.
- 12. Li J, Chen P, Li XQ, Bao QL, Dai CH, Ge LP. Elevated levels of survivin and livin mRNA in bronchial aspirates as markers to support the diagnosis of lung cancer. Int J Cancer 2013;132:1098-1104.
- 13. Yagihashi A, Asanuma K, Kobayashi D et al. Detection of autoantibodies to livin and survivin in Sera from lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer 2005;48:217-221.
- Tanabe H, Yagihashi A, Tsuji N, Shijubo Y, Abe S, Watanabe N. Expression of survivin mRNA and livin mRNA in non-small-cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2004;46:299-304.

- 15. Silva J, Chang K, Hannon GJ, Rivas FV. RNA interference based functional genomics in mammalian cells: reverse genetics coming of age. Oncogene 2004;23:8401-8409.
- 16. Wang H, Ji X, Liu X et al. Lentivirus-mediated inhibition of USP39 suppresses the growth of breast cancer cells in vitro. Oncol Rep 2013;30:2871-2877.
- 17. Akhtar J, Wang Z, Zhang ZP, Bi MM. Lentiviral-mediated RNA interference targeting stathmin1 gene in human gastric cancer cells inhibits proliferation in vitro and tumor growth in vivo. J Transl Med 2013;11:212.
- Kenneth NS, Duckett CS. IAP proteins: regulators of cell migration and development. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2012;24:871-875.
- 19. Myung DS, Park YL, Chung CY et al. Expression of Livin in colorectal cancer and its relationship to tumor cell behavior and prognosis. PLoS One 2013;8:e73262.

- 20. Yuan B, Ran B, Wang S, Liu Z, Zheng Z, Chen H. siRNA directed against Livin inhibits tumor growth and induces apoptosis in human glioma cells. J Neurooncol 2012;107:81-87.
- Dallaglio K, Petrachi T, Marconi A et al. Expression of nuclear survivin in normal skin and squamous cell carcinoma: a possible role in tumour invasion. Br J Cancer 2013;110:199-207.
- 22. Chen XQ, Yang S, Kang MQ, Li ZY, Lu HS, Lin TY. Survivin expression in human lung cancer and the influence of its downregulation on the biological behavior of human lung cancer cells. Exp Ther Med 2012;3:1010-1014.
- 23. Xing J, Jia CR, Wang Y, Guo J, Cai Y. Effect of shRNA targeting survivin on ovarian cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2012;138:1221-1229.