# ORIGINAL ARTICLE \_\_\_\_

# Is there any relation between PET-CT SUVmax value and prognostic factors in locally advanced breast cancer?

Deniz Tural<sup>1</sup>, Derya Kivrak Salim<sup>1</sup>, Hasan Mutlu<sup>1</sup>, Metin Erkilic<sup>2</sup>, Seyda Gunduz<sup>1</sup>, Melek Karakurt<sup>1</sup>, Fatma Musri<sup>1</sup>, Savas Tuna<sup>3</sup>, Adil Boz<sup>2</sup>, Funda Aydin<sup>2</sup>, Binnur Karayalcin<sup>2</sup>, Hakan Bozcuk<sup>1</sup>, Hasan Senol Coskun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology and <sup>2</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Akdeniz University, Medical School, Antalya; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Bakirkoy Education and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

## Summary

**Purpose:** To investigate the relation between PET-CT SU-Vmax value and prognostic factors in locally advanced breast cancer.

**Methods:** Data of 73 patients were retrospectively analyzed. Relations between SUVmax value, clinical stage, tumor grade and breast cancer molecular subtypes were analyzed by using one-way ANOVA and  $x^2$  tests. Correlations between age, ki-67 scores and SUVmax were evaluated by using Pearson's correlation test. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results:** Median SUVmax values for clinical stages 1, 2 and 3 were 5 (range 2.1-4.1), 10.6 (range 2.9-19.6), and 12.2 (range 3.2-23.3), respectively. Statistically significant difference was noticed between stage 1 and 2 (p=0.014) and stage 1 and 3 (p=0.001). Median SUVmax values of triple negative, luminal A, luminal B and non-luminal HER2 positive groups were 14.4 (range 6.6-23.3), 8.2 (range 2.1-18.2), 10.1 (range 3.5-19.6), and 14 (range 4.1-22.9), respectively. Statistically significant differences were noticed in SUVmax values between triple-negative and luminal A groups (p=0.005) and between non-luminal HER2 positive and luminal A groups (p=0.02). Median SUVmax values of grade 1, 2 and 3 were 5.7 (range 2.1-18.2), 9.5 (range 2.2-21.3), and 11.6 (range 3.5-23), respectively. Statistically significant difference was noticed only between SUVmax values of grade 1 and 3 (p=0.035). There was negative correlation between age and SUVmax value (r=-0.23, p=0.047) and positive correlation between ki-67 and SUVmax value (r=0.43, p=0.016).

**Conclusion:** There were significant positive relations between PET-CT SUVmax value and clinical stage, tumor grade, and certain breast cancer molecular subtypes (triple-negative and non-luminal HER2 positive groups. Moreover, positive correlation was found between SUVmax value and ki-67 and negative correlation between SUVmax value and age.

*Key words: breast cancer subtypes, locally advanced breast cancer, PET scan, prognostic factors, SUVmax* 

# Introduction

18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/ computed tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) is an effective method for evaluating of patients with locally advanced breast cancer. Superiority of 18F-FDG PET/CT over other conventional imaging methods is based on its ability to quantify functional tumor biology. In breast cancer, 18F-FDG PET/CT has been used for tumor detection and staging, monitoring the response of the primary tumor to neoadjuvant chemotherapy and obtaining prognostic information [1,2]. Some previous trials demonstrated that the degree of FDG uptake may provide important clinical and biological information in addition to being a use-

*Correspondence to*: Deniz Tural, MD. Department of Clinical Oncology, Akdeniz University Medical School, Main Campus, Dumlupinar Ave, TR-07985m, Antalya, Turkey. Tel: +90 242 249 60 00, Fax: +90 242 249 69 03, E-mail: deniztural@gmail.com Received: 04/01/2015; Accepted: 29/01/2015

ful tool for initial detection of primary breast cancer. Therefore, high levels of 18F-FDG uptake are considered to indicate more aggressive potential of the primary tumor than low levels [3-9]. Also, previous trials have demonstrated a correlation between the intensity of 18F-FDG PET/CT uptake and tumor grade, hormonal receptor status, tumor subtype and HER2 status [5,10-14].

In recent years, microarrays technology has allowed the identification and characterization of distinct breast cancer subtypes such as luminal A, luminal B, HER2 overexpressing and triple-negative tumors [15]. The molecular diversity in cell biology is associated with significant differences in clinical outcomes and immunohistochemical techniques give more details in the characterization of breast cancer subtypes [16-18]. Several trials have demonstrated association between the intensity of 18F-FDG uptake and histological and biological characteristics such as hormonal receptor status, HER2 status, grade and tumor type. However, the relation between the prognostic value of SUVmax and the molecular subtypes of breast cancer has not been assessed. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the relation between SUVmax and molecular subtypes of breast cancer, such as luminal A, luminal B, HER2 overexpressing and triple-negative tumors. If such a relation could exist it would have serious implications in the treatment of locally advanced breast cancer.

## Methods

#### Inclusion criteria

Between 2009 and 2013, patients with locally advanced breast cancer who underwent PET/CT in our hospital were evaluated. We identified 98 patients, 73 of whom had available clinical and radiological information and were included in this study. Patients with biopsy (tru-cat) proven breast cancer who underwent PET/CT before surgery, and chemo/radiochemotherapy were included in this study. Patients who had excisional biopsy were excluded. Primary tumors' clinical stage was assessed by clinical examination, mammogram, ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in all of the patients.

#### Imaging protocol

The patients fasted for at least 4 hrs prior to PET/ CT imaging and their blood glucose levels were obtained prior to tracer injection. The blood glucose levels of all patients were below 200 mg/dl at the time of FDG injection. Each patient received intravenously 7-10 mCi (260-370MBq) of FDG as tracer. Following this, the patients rested on a comfortable chair in a silent room for 1 hr to allow FDG biodistribution. For optimal delineation of bowel structures, 400-600 ml of contrast material diluted in water was ingested 1 hr before CT imaging. No urinary bladder catheterization was performed, and no diuretics were administered at this time. Whole body imaging was performed 1 hr after radiotracer injection using a Siemens Biograph PET/CT scanner with lutetium orthosilicate (LSO) detectors (Siemens Biograph 6, IL, Chicago, USA). First, low dose CT was performed with 140 kV, 50 mA, a table speed of 22.5 mm/s and without any specific breath holding instructions. Scanning from the top of the skull down to the upper thighs was performed in a single step with the patient in supine position. CT data were used for attenuation correction (5 mm contiguous axial cuts). Immediately afterwards, a PET emission scan was obtained without changing the patient's position. Six to eight bed positions were used with an acquisition time of 3 min for each bed position. The PET scan was acquired in a three dimensional mode over the same anatomical regions, starting at the level of the mid thigh. The PET image data sets were reconstructed iteratively using the CT data for attenuation correction and co-registered images were displayed on a workstation.

#### Image analysis

All PET/CT images were analyzed by an expert nuclear medicine physician who had no information about the diagnostic CT findings. Attenuation corrected PET images, CT scans, and co-registered PET/CT images were interpreted using a dedicated image fusion workstation and a final consensus was reached for all patients. Any foci with increased FDG uptake, except for areas of physiologically increased FDG uptake, corresponding to a CT abnormality (tissue or lymph node) were considered positive for breast lesions. Suspicious findings on CT were considered negative if they did not correspond to an area of increased FDG uptake. In this study we used SUVmax value because it is less variable than mean SUV in terms of measurements [6].

#### Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was used in the examination of estrogen (ER) and pro¬gesterone receptors (PR) in 73 patientx with locally advanced breast cancer. Intranuclear staining in the cells was expressed as the percentage of stained cells;  $\geq 1\%$  staining was defined as positive. The evaluation of HER2 positivity was based on the guidelines of American Society of Clinical Oncology (College of American Pathologists).

#### Microarrays

In recent years, microarrays have allowed the identification and characterization of distinct breast cancer subtypes such as luminal A, luminal B, HER2 overexpressing and triple-negative tumors [16]. Breast cancer based on the expression of ER, PR, HER2 was classified into 4 molecular subtypes in 73 patients as follows: luminal A tumors (ER positive or PR positive and HER2 negative), luminal B tumors (ER positive or PR positive and HER2 positive), non-luminal HER2 positive tumors (ER negative or PR negative and HER2 positive), triple-negative tumors (ER negative or PR negative and HER2 negative).

#### **Statistics**

Relations between SUVmax value of the primary tumor and ER, PR, HER2 receptors, clinical stage, tumor grade, ki-67 scores and age were investigated. Relations between SUVmax value and clinical stage, tumor grade and breast cancer molecular subtypes were analyzed by using one-way ANOVA and x<sup>2</sup> tests. Correlations between age, ki-67 scores and SUVmax were evaluated by using Pearson's correlation test. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Seventy-three patients with locally advanced invasive breast carcinoma were included before neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Their median age was 50 years (range 30-72) and median SUV max value was 10.3 (range 2.1-23.3). Thirty-four patients (47%) were premenopausal and 39 (53%) menopausal at the time of diagnosis. Patient clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The relationship between SUVmax and prognostic factors, such as stage, grade, hormone receptor status and ki-67 index was evaluated. A negative correlation between age and SUVmax value (r=-0.23, p=0.047) and a positive correlation between ki-67 score and SUVmax value (r=0.43, p=0.016) were noticed.

Median SUVmax values for clinical stages 1, 2 and 3 were 5 (range 2.1-4.1), 10.6 (range 2.9-19.6) and 12.2 (range 3.2-23.3), respectively. Statistically significant differences were noticed between stage 1 and 2 (p=0.014) and stage 1 and 3 (p=0.001), while there was no statistically significant difference between stages 2 and 3 with respect to SUVmax value. Median SUVmax values of triple-negative, luminal A, luminal B and non-luminal HER2 positive groups were 14.4 (range 6.6-23.3), 8.2 (range 2.1-18.2), 10.1 (range 3.5-19.6) and 14 (range 4.1-22.9), respectively. Statistically significant differences in SUVmax values were registered between triple-negative and luminal A groups (p=0.005) and between non-luminal HER2 positive and luminal A groups (p=0.02). Median SUVmax values of grade 1, 2 and 3 were 5.7 (range 2.1-18.2), 9.5 (range 2.2-21.3) and 11.6 (range 3.5-

Characterisitics	Ν	% (30-72)	
Age, years, median (range)	50		
SUVmax, median (range)	10.3	(2.1-23.3)	
Menopausal status			
Premenopausal	34	47	
Postmenopausal	39	53	
Histology			
Invasive ductal carcinoma	63	86	
Invasive lobular carcinoma	4	6	
Mixed histology*	6	8	
T stage			
T1	16	22	
T2	35	48	
Т3	11	15	
T4	11	15	
Nodal status			
Positive	31	43	
Negative	42	57	
Grade			
Ι	6	8	
II	35	48	
III	26	36	
Unknown	6	8	
Estrogen receptor status			
Positive	51	70	
Negative	22	30	
Progesterone receptor status			
Positive	42	58	
Negative	31	42	
HER2 receptor status			
Positive	30	41	
Negative	43	59	
Triple-negative status			
Positive	12	16	
Negative	61	84	
Ki-67 mean (range)**	40	1-80	

\*invasive ductal and invasive lobular carcinoma \*\*Ki-67 values were avaible in 40 patients

23), respectively (one way ANOVA, p=0.034). Statistically significant difference in SUVmax values was found only between grade 1 and grade 3 (p=0.035). The relationship between clinicopathological features and SUV max value are shown in detail in Table 2.

## Discussion

To our knowledge, there are only few published data about the findings from PET-CT SU-

Variables	Ν	%	SUVmax median (range)	Statistical test	p value
Age, years, median (range)	50	(30-72)	r=-0.23	Pearson's correlation test	0.047
Clinical stage				ANOVA	0.001
Ι	15	20.8	4.1 (2.1-14)		
II	12	16.7	8.25 (2.9-19.6)		
III	46	62.5	12 (3.2-23.3)		
Grade				ANOVA	0.034
Ι	6	8.2	5.7 (2.1-18.2)		
II	35	47.9	9.5 (2.2-21.3)		
III	26	35.6	11.6 (3.5-23)		
Unknown	6	8.2			
Molecular subtypes				ANOVA	0.002
Luminal A	34	46.6	8.2 (2.1-18.2)		
Luminal B	19	26	10.1 (3.5-19.69		
No Luminal A and B, HER 2 posi- tive	9	12.3	14 (4.1-22.9)		
Triple-negative	11	15.1	14,4 (6.6-23.3)		
Ki-67 median (range)	40	(1-85)	r=0.43	Pearson's correlation test	0.016

Table 2. Relationship between clinicopathologic features and SUV max value

Vmax value in the four molecular subtypes of breast cancer. In our study we elucidated the relationship between 4 molecular subtypes of breast cancer and PET-CT and our patients have shown significant relationship between PET-CT SUVmax value and the parameters that have prognostic significance in breast cancer. Basu et al. [19] assessed the FDG PET-CT imaging characteristics in 29 patients with triple-negative breast cancer and compared these results with the imaging characteristics of 59 patients with ER positive/ PR positive/ HER2 negative breast cancer, which usually carry a favorable prognosis. The authors demonstrated that triple-negative breast cancers were associated with enhanced FDG uptake which revealed their aggressive biology when compared ER positive/ PR positive/ HER2 negative breast carcinoma.

Our study is consistent with the Basu et al. findings in which triple-negative and non-luminal HER2 breast cancer, which have more aggressive clinical course than others, had significantly higher SUVmax values than the other types of breast cancer with favorable prognosis (e.g. luminal A).

In the literature, several trials have demonstrated the usefulness of PET-CT imaging for assessing patients with breast cancer. Some previous trials elucidated that the degree of FDG uptake may provide information in addition to being a useful approach for detection of primary breast cancer [8-14].

Kadoya et al. have evaluated the relationship between prognostic factors and PET-CT SUVmax value in 344 patients with clinical stage I-III who did not receive surgical intervention and induction therapy [8]. The authors have demonstrated that there was a relationship between high value of PET-CT SUVmax and HER2 receptor positivity, and high tumor grade.

Ohara et al. evaluated the significance of PET-CT SUVmax value as prognostic factor for operable breast cancer [9]. They reported that high SU-Vmax was significantly associated with large tumor size, lymph node metastasis, high nuclear grade, lymphovascular invasion, hormone receptor status, positive HER2 status and poor prognosis. Our results by which we demonstrated the relationship between SUVmax values and prognostic factors such as clinical stage, tumor grade, hormone receptor status and HER2 receptor status, are consistent with the findings of Ohara et al. study.

In their study, Gil-Rendo et al. Demonstrated that there was a relationhip between SUVmax and ki-67 proliferative index [14]. The results of our study coincide with the results of Gil-Rendo et al. study.

In conclusion, the current study suggests that FDG PET-CT SUVmax values may reflect the mo-

lecular subtypes of locally advanced breast cancer, and also a relationship between FDG PET-CT

SUVmax value and aggressive clinical disease course.

# References

- 1. Berriolo-Riedinger A, Touzery C, Riedinger JM et al. 18F-FDG-PET predicts complete pathological response of breast cancer to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2007;34:1915-1924.
- Duch J, Fuster D, Munoz M et al. 18F-FDG PET/CT for early prediction of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2009;36:1551-1557.
- Sanli Y, Kuyumcu S, Ozkan ZG et al. Increased FDG uptake in breast cancer is associated with prognostic factors. Ann Nucl Med 2012;4:345-350.
- 4. Heudel P, Cimarelli S, Montella A, Bouteille C, Mognetti T. Value of PET-FDG in primary breast cancer based on histopathological and immunohistochemical prognostic factors. Int J Clin Oncol 2010;15:588-593.
- 5. Buck A, Schirrmeister H, Kühn T et al. FDG uptake in breast cancer: correlation with biological and clinical prognostic parameters. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2002;29:1317-1323.
- 6. Lee JR, Madsen MT, Bushnel D, Menda Y. A threshold method to improve standardized uptake value reproducibility. Nucl Med Commun 2000;21:685-690.
- 7. Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Schwartz JN et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:118-145.
- Kadoya T, Aogi K, Kiyoto S, Masumoto N, Sugawara Y, Okada M. Role of maximum standardized uptake value in fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography predicts malignancy grade and prognosis of operable breast cancer: a multi-institute study.Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013;141:269-275.
- 9. Ohara M, Shigematsu H, Tsutani Y et al. Role of FDG-PET/CT in evaluating surgical outcomes of operable breast cancer--usefulness for malignant grade of triple-negative breast cancer. Breast 2013;22:958-963.
- 10. Avril N, Menzel M, Dose J et al. Glucose metabolism

of breast cancer assessed by 18F-FDG PET: histologic and immunohistochemical tissue analysis. J Nucl Med 2001;42:9-16.

- 11. Bos R, van Der Hoeven JJ, van Der Wall E et al. Biologic correlates of (18) fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in human breast cancer measured by positron emission tomography. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:379-387.
- 12. Kumar R, Chauhan A, Zhuang H, Chandra P, Schnall M, Alavi A. Clinicopathologic factors associated with false negative FDG-PET in primary breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2006;98:267-274.
- Groheux D, Giacchetti S, Moretti JL et al. Correlation of high 18F-FDG uptake to clinical, pathological and biological prognostic factors in breast cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2011;38:426-435.
- 14. Gil-Rendo A, Martínez-Regueira F, Zornoza G, García-Velloso MJ, Beorlegui C, Rodriguez-Spiteri N. Association between [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose uptake and prognostic parameters in breast cancer. Br J Surg 2009;96:166-170.
- 15. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Sørlie T et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000;406:747-752.
- 16. Dawson SJ, Provenzano E, Caldas C. Triple negative breast cancers: clinical and prognostic implications. Eur J Cancer 2009;45:27-40.
- 17. Nielsen TO, Hsu FD, Jensen K et al. Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2004;10:5367-5374.
- Rakha EA, El-Sayed ME, Green AR, Lee AH, Robertson JF, Ellis IO. Prognostic markers in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;109:25-32.
- 19. Basu S, Chen W, Tchou J et al. Comparison of triple-negative and estrogen receptor-positive/progesterone receptor-positive/HER2-negative breast carcinoma using quantitative fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose/positron emission tomography imaging parameters: a potentially useful method for disease characterization.Cancer 2008;112:995-1000.