ORIGINAL ARTICLE __

Clinical value of serum cancer antigen 19-9 as a tumor screening marker among healthy individuals

Gui-Bin Yang

Department of Gastroenterology, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing 100049, China

Summary

Purpose: To investigate the clinical value of serum cancer antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) as a tumor screening marker among healthy individuals.

Methods: CA 19-9 levels were measured in 1921 healthy individuals and were compared to reference values. Analysis based on gender was also carried out. Individuals who had higher CA 19-9 values were advised to undergo imaging examinations and start follow-up. The incidence rate of tumors in these individuals and their prognoses were monitored.

Results: High CA 19-9 levels were found in 30 (1.5%) individuals without tumor diagnosis at that time. The overall

positive detection rate was 15.62 per 1000 population; the rate was higher in males than in females (9.29 and 32.56 per 1000 population, respectively; p<0.01). Tumors were diagnosed in 7 (0.36%)cases (6 men and 1 woman); 6 of 30 individuals had CA 19-9 levels that were 5-fold higher than the highest reference value, without tumor specificity.

Conclusion: CA 19-9 has a low positive rate and is non-specific; routine screening is not recommended for healthy individuals.

Key words: CA19-9, health examination, screening, tumor

Introduction

Early tumor diagnosis and treatment have become more important in our country because of the increasing cancer incidence, especially for digestive system neoplasms [1]. Serum tumor marker measurements are convenient, non-invasive, acceptable to patients, and play an important role as an ancillary tumor diagnostic tool [2,3]. Common tumor markers include carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), prostate-specific antigen (PSA), and cancer antigen 125 (CA 125), which are associated with hollow organ tumors [4], liver cancer [5], prostate cancer [6], and ovarian cancer [7], respectively. These, combined with other tumor markers could increase the tumor detection rate [8].

Aberrant glycosylation occurs on the cell membrane during tumorigenesis resulting in the production of glucose by-products. Tumor markers can be used as an ancillary cancer screening test and to monitor treatment and evaluate tumor recurrence [9]. CA 19-9 is composed of glycosidoproteins and sialylated lacto-N-fucopentose- α [10] and is mainly found in fetal intestinal, gastric, and pancreatic epithelial cells. Serum CA 19-9 levels are low in > 95% of healthy individuals (< 37×10³ U/mL) but increase in patients with pancreatic [11], liver [12], gastric [13], gallbladder [14], and lung [15] cancers. Nearly all patients who have high CA 19-9 levels (> 1000×10³ U/mL) have tumor metastasis [16]. The sensitivity of this tumor marker varies with the stage of the tumor [17].

CA 19-9 has become a common tumor marker, specific for digestive system tumors. To evaluate the cost benefits and prognostic ability of CA 19-9, levels were evaluated in healthy individuals recruited over a period of 3 years in our hospital.

Correspondence to: Gui-Bin Yang, MD. Department of Gastroenterology, Aerospace Center Hospital, 15# Yuquanlu Road Haidian District, Beijing 100049, China. Tel: +86 10 5997 1204, Fax: +86 10 5997 1155, E-mail: guibinyangcn@163.com Received: 10/04/2015; Accepted: 17/06/2015

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited in our hospital from January 2007 to December 2009.

This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. and after approval from the Ethics Committee of Aerospace Center Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Methods and instruments

The serum CA 19-9 level was detected using a microsome luminescence method using an i2000 automatic immunoassay unit (Abbott, USA). Four microliters of venous blood were collected and serum was harvested after centrifugation. Serum CA 19-9 levels were measured based on the automatic immunoassay instructions.

Data collection and follow up

General data such as sex, age and disease history were collected using registration forms of physical examination. Individuals with high CA 19-9 levels underwent further examination, including routine fecal testing, thoracic and abdominal computed tomography (CT) scans, gastroscopy, and colonoscopy. These individuals had regular follow-up examinations.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 10.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill). The CA 19-9 positive rate and tumor detection rate between males and females were compared using x^2 test and Fisher's exact test. Data were presented as mean±standard deviation (SD). A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General data

There were 1921 healthy individuals that participated in the study (1399 men and 522 women); their mean age was 54 ± 12 years. Data from individuals who were examined at our hospital within the previous 3 years were recorded (Table 1).

Results on the detection of serum CA 19-9 levels and follow up

In 2007, 5 of 236 individuals (2.12%) had elevated CA 19-9 levels, including 1 woman whose CA 19-9 level ranged from 69.38 to 2056.32 U/mL (Table 2). A 57-year-old man whose CA 19-9 level was 2056.32 U/mL underwent gastroscopy and biopsy confirmed a gastric signet ring cell carcinoma. A 54-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 265.42 U/mL underwent gastrointestinal endoscopy and chest CT examination, but no tumor was found. His CA 19-9 level had increased significantly 2 years later. During that period, he underwent repeated CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography/CT (PET-CT) examinations that finally confirmed the presence of a cholangiocarcinoma. Surgery was performed but the tumor recurred after 1 year. An 82-year-old man, whose CA 19-9 and CEA levels were 118.59 U/mL and 441.36 µg/L, respectively, refused further examination and died after 6 months. Two other individuals with CA 19-9 levels of 69.38 U/mL and 80.30 U/mL did not show increase in CA19-9 levels or any tumor signs after 2 years.

In 2008, there were 492 individuals who had detectable CA 19-9 levels. Four individuals had been examined in 2007; another 5 individuals had higher CA 19-9 levels, including 4 women. CA 19-9 levels varied from 40.30 to 393.04 U/mL. A 66-year-old woman had the highest CA 19-9 level and imaging confirmed after radical resection of lung carcinoma. Among the other 4 individuals, the highest CA 19-9 level was 73.53 U/mL. During a 1-year follow-up, the serum CA 19-9 levels these 4 individuals were low and no tumor was detected.

In 2009, CA 19-9 was detected in 1193 individuals, including 2 who had been identified in the previous 2 years. Another 20 individuals had high CA 19-9 levels, including 12 women. CA 19-9 levels ranged from 38.18 to 399.85 U/mL. A 45-year-old woman had the highest CA 19-9 level and imaging confirmed an ovarian malignant teratoma. Recurrence of a cardia carcinoma after radical resection of the primary tumor was confirmed by gastroscopy in an 81-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 368.53 U/mL. Pancreatic cancer was confirmed by CT in a 73-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 186.43 U/mL and a cancer antigen 72-4 (CA 72-4) level > 300 U/mL Surgery was performed but he died after 3 months. The highest CA 19-9 level among the remaining 17 cases was 81.40 U/mL; at 9 months, the level was low and there were no tumor signs in this individual with the highest CA 19-9 level.

Data summary

Over a 3-year period, the serum CA19-9 levels of 1921 healthy individuals were estimated. The

Year	2007 N (%)	2008 N (%)	2009 N (%)
Total No.	236	492	1193
Male	186 (78.8)	367 (74.6)	846 (70.9)
Female	50 (21.2)	125 (25.4)	347 (29.1)
Mean age,years	53.5±13.7	53.7±12.8	54.2±11.7

Table 1. General data of patients within the past three years

±standard deviation

Table 2. Follow-up condition of patients with tumor

Year	Age/Sex	CA19-9(u/ml)	Diagnosis	Prognosis
2007				
1	57/M	2056.32	Gastric signet ring cell carcinoma (gastroscopy and pathological examination)	Passed away after four months
2	54/M	265.42	Cholangiocarcinoma (follow-up two years)	Recurrence one year after operation
3	82/M	118.59	CEA 441.36 µg/l Refused further examination	Passed away after half year
2008				
4	66/M	393.04	Postoperative metastasis of lung cancer	Passed away after five months
2009				
5	45/F	399.85	Teratoma	Surgical therapy
6	81/M	368.53	Postoperative recurrence of cardia carcinoma	Supportive therapy
7	73/M	186.43	Pancreatic cancer	Passed away after three months

M: male, F: female

CA 19-9 level of 30 individuals was positive (positive rate: 1.56%), including 13 men (13/1399;0.93%) and 17 women (17/522;3.26%). There was a significant difference between males and females (p<0.01). The overall tumor detection rate was 0.36% (7/1921) including 6/1399 men (0.43%) () and 1/522 women (0.19%).

Discussion

In recent years, cancer incidence has increased in our country, with a postoperative survival rate of patients being significantly lower than in developed countries [1]. Effective detection methods are important to identify patients with early tumors and improve the therapeutic results and patient survival. Serum tumor marker measurements are convenient, non-invasive, and readily accepted by patients when used as an ancillary diagnostic tumor detection method [2,3]. Many types of tumor markers are currently available but there is no single marker that is ideal for screening and diagnosis.

Tumor markers are secreted by tumor cells into tissues or are produced by the host in response to changes in the body. These markers do not exist in healthy people except in embryos. The levels of tumor markers are higher in patients with tumors than in healthy people [2,3]. Tumor marker levels can be used to diagnose tumors, analyze the time course, guide therapy, monitor recurrence or metastasis, and provide prognosis. Tumor markers have been used for more than 30 years and have become a routine tumor detection method [2,3]. CA 19-9 is usually elevated in many adenocarcinomas including malignant tumors of the digestive tract and pancreatic cancer. However, it is not tumor-specific.

The ideal tumor marker should have high specificity and sensitivity and the ideal tumor screening method should have high sensitivity but does not need high specificity [18]. Some studies have reported that significantly increased CA 19-9 levels had better specificity for tumor diagnosis; however, the sensitivity was only 34.5-44.9%, which is of limited value for tumor screening [19-21]. This study found an overall positive rate of 1.56% in healthy individuals who were screened for CA 19-9 and the rate was higher in men than in women. Other authors have found that uterine endometriosis is a common reason

for increased CA 19-9 levels in addition to malignant chest tumors and benign bile duct diseases [22]. In this study uterine endometriosis might be a cause for high CA19-9 levels in women. The overall tumor detection rate was only 0.36% in this study, and participants whose CA 19-9 level was <100 U/mL were examined further and no evidence of tumor was found. However, participants whose CA 19-9 level was > 100 U/mL were found to have new or recurrent tumors. Importantly, most of the patients with recurrent or advanced malignant tumors were detected using CA 19-9 screening. Although some patients were subjected to surgery, tumor recurrence was unavoidable.

In summary, the CA 19-9 tumor marker has limited prognostic value and should not be part of routine examinations.

References

- Li YZ, Wu PH. Conventional radiological strategy of common gastrointestinal neoplasms. World J Radiol 2015;7:7-16.
- 2. Peng Y, Zhai Z, Li Z, Wang L, Gu J. Role of blood tumor markers in predicting metastasis and local recurrence after curative resection of colon cancer. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8:982-990.
- Rai AJ, Chan DW. Cancer proteomics: Serum diagnostics for tumor marker discovery. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2004;1022:286-294.
- Bhatti I, Patel M, Dennison AR, Thomas MW, Garcea G. Utility of postoperative CEA for surveillance of recurrence after resection of primary colorectal cancer. Int J Surg 2015;16:123-128.
- Tatli AM, Urakci Z, Kalender ME, Arslan H, Tastekin D, Kaplan MA. Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) Elevation In Gastric Adenocarcinoma and Importance of AFP Change in Tumor Response Evaluation. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015;16:2003-2007.
- Buzzoni C, Auvinen A, Roobol MJ et al. Metastatic Prostate Cancer Incidence and Prostate-specific Antigen Testing: New Insights from the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2015 [Epub ahead of print].

- 7. Kim HS, Choi HY, Lee M et al. Systemic Inflammatory Response Markers and CA-125 Levels in Ovarian Clear Cell Carcinoma: A Two Center Cohort Study. Cancer Res Treat 2015 [Epub ahead of print].
- 8. Nissi R, Talvensaari-Mattila A, Kuvaja P, Pääkkö P, Soini Y, Santala M. Claudin-5 Is Associated with Elevated TATI and CA125 Levels in Mucinous Ovarian Borderline Tumors. Anticancer Res 2015;35:973-976.
- Katzenmaier EM, André S, Kopitz J, Gabius HJ. Impact of sodium butyrate on the network of adhesion/ growth-regulatory galectins in human colon cancer in vitro. Anticancer Res 2014;34:5429-5438.
- Zhang J, Huang T, Zhang F et al. Prognostic role of serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels in patients with resectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Tumour Biol 2015 [Epub ahead of print].
- Reitz D, Gerger A, Seidel J et al. Combination of tumour markers CEA and CA19-9 improves the prognostic prediction in patients with pancreatic cancer. J Clin Pathol 2015 [Epub ahead of print]
- 12. Hsu CC, Goyal A, Iuga A et al. Elevated CA19-9 Is associated with increased mortality in a prospective cohort of hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2015;6:e74.

- 13. Lee EC, Yang JY, Lee KG et al. The value of postoperative serum carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels for the early detection of gastric cancer recurrence after curative resection. J Gastric Cancer 2014;14:221-228.
- 14. Srivastava K, Srivastava A, Mittal B. Potential biomarkers in gallbladder cancer: present status and future directions. Biomarkers 2013;18:1-9.
- 15. Ghosh I, Bhattacharjee D, Das AK, Chakrabarti G, Dasgupta A, Dey SK. Diagnostic Role of Tumour Markers CEA, CA15-3, CA19-9 and CA125 in Lung Cancer. Indian J Clin Biochem 2013;28:24-29.
- 16. Jing JX, Wang Y, Xu XQ et al. Tumor markers for diagnosis, monitoring of recurrence and prognosis in patients with upper gastrointestinal tract cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:10267-10272.
- 17. Liu F, Du F, Chen X. Multiple tumor marker protein chip detection system in diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. World J Surg Oncol 2014;12:333.

- Muramatsu M, Sakai M. Mechanisms of a tumor marker, glutathione transferase P, expression during hepatocarcinogenesis of the rat. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci 2006;82:339-352.
- 19. Carpelan-Holmstrom M, Louhimo J, Stenman UH, Alfthan H, Haglund C. CEA, CA 19-9 and CA 72-4 improve the diagnostic accuracy in gastrointestinal cancers. Anticancer Res 2002;22:2311-2316.
- 20. Zheng CX, Zhan WH, Zhao JZ et al. The prognostic value of preoperative serum levels of CEA, CA19-9 and CA72-4 in patients with colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2001;7:431-434.
- 21. Holubec L Jr, Topolcan O, Pikner R et al. The significance of CEA, CA19-9 and CA72-4 in the detection of colorectal carcinoma recurrence. Anticancer Res 2000;20:5237-5244.
- 22. Tong YL, Chen Y, Zhu SY. Ileocecal endometriosis and a diagnosis dilemma: a case report and literature review. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19:3707-3710.