# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Comparison of TACE combined with and without iodine-125 seeds implantation therapy for advanced stage hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Qiang Liu\*, Xiang-cheng Dai\*, Xiao-lin Zhou, Feng Ye, Yuan-hong Zhou

The First College of Clinical Medical Science, China Three Gorges University/ Yichang Central People's Hospital, Yichang 443000, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### Summary

Purpose: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has the secondhighest cancer-related mortality in patients worldwide. Recently, TACE plus Iodine-125 (125I) seed strand endovascular implantation (ISEI) was shown to be feasible in advanced HCC patients. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of this combined therapy for the treatment of advanced stage HCC by meta-analysis.

Methods: A systematic search in PubMed, EMBASE and Cochrane Library Databases was conducted until April 1<sup>st</sup> 2018. Outcomes included overall survival (OS), objective response rate (ORR) of primary liver tumor, and procedure-related complications. All statistical analyses

were performed using Review Manager 5.3 and Stata 12.0.

Results: Nine eligible studies on 1059 advanced HCC patients were included. The results showed that TACE plus ISEI had significantly improved the 6-month OS (OR, 5.01: 95%CI, 3.19~7.86: P<0.01) and 1-year OS (OR, 4.97: 95%CI, 3.12~7.92: P<0.01) compared to TACE alone.

Conclusion: The safety and efficacy of TACE plus ISEI is superior to TACE alone for advanced HCC.

Key words: hepatocellular carcinoma, transarterial chemoembolization, <sup>125</sup>I implantation, meta-analysis

# Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), with an increasing morbidity year by year, has the secondhighest cancer-related mortality in patients worldwide [1]. It also has a high recurrence rate, the 5-year recurrence rate being up to 80% [2]. Current therapies for HCC include liver resection, liver transplantation, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE), ablation therapy, systemic therapy (e.g. sorafenib), etc [3]. However, most patients are diagnosed in advanced stages. Consequently, few of them can benefit from surgical treatment [2],

while most of the patients have to receive regional treatment (e.g. TACE) or systemic therapy.

TACE is effective both in terms of tumor response and overall survival (OS). However, it causes hypoxia leading to increased levels of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and insulin-like growth factor receptor 2, which is associated to metastasis [3]. In order to decrease this flaw, numerous studies have explored combination therapies for advanced HCC patients. Among these procedures, TACE combined Iodine-125 (<sup>125</sup>I) seed strand

Correspondence to: Yuan-hong Zhou, PhD. The First College of Clinical Medical Science, China Three Gorges University/ Yichang Central People's Hospital, 183 Yiling Rd, Yichang 443000, P. R. of China. Tel: + 86 18702714873, E-mail: zyh205720@sohu.com Received: 20/06/2018; Accepted: 02/09/2018

This work by JBUON is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



endovascular implantation (ISEI) could be a feasible therapeutic option, but the results are disputable [4-12]. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to compare the efficacy and safety of TACE with or without ISEI as an add-on treatment in advancedstage of HCC.

## Methods

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PPISMA) guidelines [13].

#### Search strategy

We systematically searched the relevant studies in PubMed, Embase, Science Citation Index Expanded (Web of Science) and Cochrane Library Databases up to April 1<sup>st</sup> 2018. The following terms were included: "iodine-125" and "liver cancer" or "hepatocellular carcinoma" or "HCC" or "hepatic cancer" and "chemoembolization" or "TACE". In addition, the references' lists of the retrieved studies were also screened. The language was restricted to English.

#### Selection criteria

Two reviewers independently conducted the search process, quality assessment and eligibility evaluation. The disagreements were discussed to reach a consensus, and if not possible, the dispute was settled by arbitration by a third reviewer. Studies published in English were eligible according to the following criteria: 1) the participants were advanced HCC patients; 2) treatment modalities included TACE with or without ISEI; 3) sufficiently detailed data on methods, patient characteristics and survival. Case reports, abstracts, letters, reviews, proceedings, studies without controls or without necessary data were excluded. The detailed search strategy is shown in Figure 1.

#### Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

Basic publication information (first author name, publication year, study population, interventions, sample size, population characteristics, baseline characteristics and outcomes) was extracted. The outcomes included: 1) overall survival (OS), defined as the time from the commencement of treatment until death or last follow-up; 2) HCC baseline response according to the modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors mRECIST) guidelines for HCC [14]; 3) procedure-related adverse events based on the National Cancer Institute common terminology criteria for adverse events, version 3.0 [15].

The risk of bias of each potentially included study was assessed according to the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* and the Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group web site instructions for authors. The detailed risk of bias assessment is shown in Figure 2.

#### **Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.3 (The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) and Stata 12.0 (Statacorp, college station, Tex). Statistical heterogeneity was assessed by the I<sup>2</sup> test, the Cochran Q statistic (heterogeneity could be accepted if P>0.1 and I<sup>2</sup><50%) and Galbraith plot for heterogeneity. According to the heterogeneity between studies, the random-effects model was used when there was significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>>50%); otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used. P values were calculated by x<sup>2</sup> test. Publication bias was assessed using the funnel plot with the bias indicator test from Egger [16].



**Figure 1.** Study flow diagram. 3-DCRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy; RAF: Radiofrequency ablation; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.



Selective reporting (reporting bias)

**Figure 2.** Risk of bias graph: review. **A:** Authors' judgments about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies; **B:** Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgments about each risk of bias item for each included study.

Other bias

# Results

## Characteristics of the studies

The initial search resulted in a total of 159 relevant studies, but 150 studies were excluded based on the selection criteria (Figure 1). We finally included 9 studies [4-12]. Detailed baseline characteristics of the studies are shown in Table 1. The total sample size was 1059, out of which 520 patients were in the treatment group (TACE plus ISEI or TACE plus ISEI and PVS) and 539 patients were in the control group (TACE alone, or TACE plus PVS).

## Overall survival

Compared to TACE alone, or TACE plus PVS, advanced HCC patients treated with TACE plus ISEI or TACE plus ISEI and PVS showed a signifi-

JBUON 2019; 24(2): 644

cant increase in 6-month overall survival (4 studies [5,6,8,10]) (OR, 5.01; 95% CI, 3.19-7.86: p<0.01) and 1-year (5 studies [5-8,10]) (OR, 4.97; 95% CI, 3.12-7.92: p<0.01). There was no statistical heterogeneity, as indicated by an I<sup>2</sup> value of 0% and 37%, respectively. Thus, the fixed-effects models were used (Figure 3).

## Objective response of primary liver tumor

The objective response rate (ORR) of primary liver tumor in advanced HCC patients was reported in seven studies [4-6, 9-12] which included 830 patients. Out of 411 patients in the treatment group, 188 (45.74%) achieved complete response (CR) or partial response (PR), compared to 169 (40.33%) out of 419 patients in the control group. Heterogeneity seemed to exist ( $I^2$ =60%, p=0.02) and the random-effects model was used. Further subgroup

	Study and treatment	Trial	Male (%)	Mean age (y)	Etiology HBV/HCV/others	Child- pugh A/B	Morphology Massive/ Multi-nodular/Diffuse	Maximum diameter >5cm /≤5cm	AFP (ng/mL) >400 / ≤400	ECOG (0/1-2)	Extrahepatic spread
	Hu (2017)[4] TACE+ISEI (n=50) TACE (n=50)	R	84 80	47.6±6.3 45.4±5.2	42/7/1 40/8/2	42/8 44/6	26/24/0 27/23/0	30/20 31/19	26/24 28/22	27/23 25/25	19
Perg (2014)(6)   Post (n=52)   P   97   NA   NA   NA   NA     TACE-HSEI (n=45)   P   97   NA   33/11/11   27/5   NA   NA   NA   NA     TACE-HSEI (n=45)   R   86   48.10/7   NA   58/8   NA   NA   3333   25/41     TACE-HSEI (n=45)   R   86   48.1100   NA   58/8   NA   NA   3333   25/41     TACE-HSEI (n=45)   P   91   NA   65/15   NA   32/46   37/41     Yang(2015)91   TACE-HSEI (n=43)   P   91   NA   40/11   25/19   7/18/17   29/13   7/35     TACE-HSEI (n=43)   P   91   NA   40/11   25/19   7/18/17   29/13   7/35     TACE-HSEI (n=43)   P   91   NA   NA   NA   18/0   NA   18/0     TACE-HSEI (n=43)   P   91   7/18/17   29/13   29/13   29/15   29/13   2/1	Huang (2016)[5] TACE+ISEI (n=70) TACE(n=140)	K	90 91	51.1±11.1 51.6±10.8	NA	31/39 68/72	NA	31/39 61/79	39/31 81/59	41/29 79/61	NA
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Peng (2014)[6] TACE+ISEI (n=32) TACE (n=43)	പ	97 91	NA	23/0/9 31/1/11	38/5 27/5	NA	NA	NA	NA	5 2
	Li (2016)[7] TACE+ISEI (n=66) TACE (n=78)	K	85 86	48.8±10.7 48.1±10.0	NA	58/8 63/15	NA	NA	33/33 32/46	25/41 37/41	12 13
Li(2015)(9) TACE+PVS+ISEI(n=18) R 100 61.0±100 NA 15/3 NA NA 18/0 NA 18/0 NA 1 TACE+PVS(n=34) 79 62.0±100 NA 15/3 28/6 NA 13/0 21/161 34/0 24/0 0/145/37 123/59 112/70 21/161 1 Luo(2016)(10) TACE+PVS(n=94) 87 55.1±11.1 74/10/10 86/8 0/79/15 61/33 52/42 9/85 TACE+PVS(n=24) R 82 53.5±8.6 22/2/9 22/11 0/4/29 NA 20/13 10/23 10/23 TACE+PVS+ISEI (n=53) R 82 53.5±8.6 22/2/9 22/11 0/4/29 NA 20/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 TACE+PVS(n=28) 89 50.9±12.1 21/2/5 20/8 0/4/24 0/4/24 20/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 TACE+PVS(n=28) R 77 51.0±2.2 21/09 17/13 NA 26/4 NA 26/4 NA 26/4 NA 26/4 NA 26/4 NA 20/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/10 10/4/24 10/10 10/4/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/13 10/13 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/24 10/13 10/24 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/13 10/23 10/13 10/23 10/23 10/23 10/13 1	Yang(2015)[8] TACE+ISEI (n=43) TACE (n=42)	с,	91 93	NA	40/2/1 40/1/1	24/19 23/19	9/15/19 7/18/17	28/15 29/13	25/18 29/13	8/35 7/35	NA
Luo(2016)[10] TACE+PVS+ISEI(n=182) R 92 53.6±10.2 154/16/12 160/22 0/145/37 123/59 112/70 21/161 1 TACE+PVS(n=94) 87 55.1±11.1 74/10/10 86/8 0/79/15 61/33 52/42 9/85 Yang(2016)[11] TACE+PVS(n=33) R 82 53.3±8.6 22/2/9 22/11 0/4/29 NA 20/13 10/23 TACE+PVS(n=28) 89 50.9±12.1 21/2/5 20/8 0/4/24 24 20/13 10/23 TACE+PVS(n=28) R 77 51.0±2.3 21/0/9 17/13 NA NA NA 20/4 NA 26/4 NA 20/4 NA 20	Li(2015)[9] TACE+PVS+ISEI(n=18) TACE+PVS (n=34)	К	100 79	61.0±10.0 62.0±10.0	NA	15/3 28/6	NA	NA	18/0 34/0	NA	NA
Yang(2016)[11] Yang(2016)[11] NA 20/13 10/23   TACE+PVS+ISEI (n=33) R 82 53.3±8.6 22/2/9 22/11 0/4/29 NA 20/13 10/23   TACE+PVS (n=33) R 82 50.9±12.1 21/2/5 20/8 0/4/24 20/8 6/22 2   Li(2011)[12] TACE+PVS+ISE(n=30) R 77 51.0±2.3 21/0/9 17/13 NA 26/4 NA 26/4 NA 7   TACE+PVS+ISE(n=30) R 77 51.0±2.3 21/0/9 17/13 NA 26/4 NA 7<	Luo(2016)[10] TACE+PVS+ISEI(n=182) TACE+PVS (n=94)	K	92 87	53.6±10.2 55.1±11.1	154/16/12 74/10/10	160/22 86/8	0/145/37 0/79/15	123/59 61/33	112/70 52/42	21/161 9/85	NA
Li(2011)[12] TACE+PVS+ISE(n=30) R 77 51.0±2.3 21/0/9 17/13 NA NA 26/4 NA 1 TACE+PVS+ISE(n=30) R 77 51.0±2.3 21/0/9 17/13	Yang(2016)[11] TACE+PVS+ISEI (n=33) TACE+PVS (n=28)	K	82 89	53.3±8.6 50.9±12.1	22/2/9 21/2/5	22/11 20/8	0/4/29 0/4/24	NA	20/13 20/8	10/23 6/22	15 20
	Li(2011)[12] TACE+PVS+ISE(n=30) TACE+PVS (n=26)	ц	77 65	51.0±2.3 48.0±1.6	21/0/9 23/0/3	17/13 13/11	NA	NA	26/4 20/6	NA	NA

645

analysis results showed that there were significant differences with regard to ORR of primary liver tumor between the two procedures (OR, 2.21; 95% CI, 1.21-4.05: p=0.01). The pooled OR was found to be 2.01 in the TACE plus ISEI group (95% CI, 1.26-3.20: p<0.01) and 2.96 in the TACE plus ISEI and PVS group (95% CI, 0.81-10.86: p=0.10). Namely, TACE plus ISEI significantly improved the ORR of primary liver cancer while TACE plus ISEI and PVS did not (Figure 4).

#### Adverse events

Four studies [5,7,11,12] reported fever, nausea and/or vomiting, but no significant differences were noted between the treatment group and the control group. The odds ratio was 1.14 (95% CI, 0.71-1.83: p=0.58) and 1.16 (95% CI, 0.74-1.83: p=0.53), respectively. Two studies [5,11] revealed upper abdominal pain, however, we found no difference between the treatment group and the control

	treatme	ent	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
1.1.1 0.5 year survival									
Huang 2016	60	70	77	140	19.4%	4.91 [2.32, 10.37]			
Luo 2016	75	182	9	94	18.4%	6.62 [3.13, 13.98]			
Peng 2014	32	32	43	43		Not estimable			
Yang 2013	25	43	13	42	14.5%	3.10 [1.27, 7.56]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		327		319	52.3%	5.01 [3.19, 7.86]		•	
Total events	192		142						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	.65, df =	2 (P = 0	0.44); l² =	0%					
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = 7.00 (	P < 0.0	0001)						
1.1.2 1 year survival									
Huang 2016	35	70	35	140	30.8%	3.00 [1.64, 5.49]			
Li 2016	31	38	19	36	9.5%	3.96 [1.39, 11.31]			
Luo 2016	42	182	0	94	1.3%	57.17 [3.48, 940.37]			•
Peng 2014	30	32	35	43	4.9%	3.43 [0.68, 17.40]			
Yang 2013	5	43	0	42	1.2%	12.14 [0.65, 226.89]			•
Subtotal (95% CI)		365		355	47.7%	4.97 [3.12, 7.92]		•	
Total events	143		89						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 6	.34, df =	4 (P = 0	0.17); l² =	37%					
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = 6.76 (	P < 0.0	0001)						
Total (95% CI)		692		674	100.0%	4.99 [3.61, 6.90]		•	
Total events	335		231						
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 8	.03, df =	7 (P = 0	0.33); l² =	13%			0.02		-
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = 9.73 (	P < 0.0	0001)				0.02 F	avours[control] Eavours[treatment]	
Test for subaroup differ	ences: C	hi² = 0.	00. df = 1	(P = 0)	.98), l <sup>2</sup> = (	)%			

**Figure 3.** Survival rates in advanced HCC patients after treatment. CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; HCC: hepa-tocellular carcinoma.



**Figure 4.** Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for objective response rate of primary liver tumor. CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio. TACE: transarterial chemoembolization; ISEI: Iodine-125 (<sup>125</sup>I) seed strand endovascular implantation; PVS: portal vein stenting.

group (OR, 2.34; 95% CI, 0.81-6.74: p=0.12). There was no significant transaminase elevation observed in the two groups from an analysis of three trials [5,6,12] (OR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.05-2.50: p=0.30). There was no evidence of an increased risk of biloma [5,6] (OR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.34-2.32: p=0.81) and granulocytopenia [7,12] (OR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.26-4.06: p=0.97) in the two groups either. Finally, the total risk rate of these adverse events between the two groups was 1.15 (95% CI, 0.83-1.58: p=0.41) (Figure 5).

#### Discussion

Although sorafenib is the standard of care for advanced HCC, its use is unfortunately limited in developing countries due to high costs [17]. The observed survival benefits for patients are also modest [18]. Especially in advanced HCC patients with portal vein tumor thrombosis (PVTT), the overall survival time when treated with TACE alone [19], sorafenib monotherapy [19] and TACE combined with sorafenib [20,21] was 4.1, 3.0 and 3.0~5.8



**Figure 5.** Adverse events in advanced HCC patients after treatment. CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma.

months, respectively. Therefore, new procedures have to be explored in order to further investigate the survival benefit in these patients.

<sup>125</sup>Iodine (I) seeds have been used to treat solid tumors and their use in combination with TACE in advanced HCC patients was reported as safe and feasible [22]. In addition, <sup>125</sup>I could inhibit tumor cell proliferation and induce apoptosis [23,24]. In particular, a continuous low dose of radiotherapy had an anti-neointimal hyperplasia effect that could prevent clinical stent restenosis in advanced HCC patients with portal vein tumor thrombus [25]. In our meta-analysis, both TACE plus ISEI and TACE plus ISEI and PVS appeared to have a better OS and ORR than TACE alone or TACE plus PVS in advanced HCC patients. Furthermore, the combination therapy also seems to have no increased risks of procedure-related complications (such as acute hepatic failure, intraperitoneal bleeding, radiation hepatitis or enteritis) when compared to controls.

This study focused on all the articles reporting the combination therapy of TACE plus ISEI up to April 2018. Nine articles included according to the selection criteria, out of which 5 reported TACE plus ISEI and 4 reported TACE plus ISEI and PVS. The overall quality of the evidence was modest. All the trials were at high risk of bias due to lack of blinding. We did not include trials in languages other than English as the data in those trials were repeated or came from the same research center. We performed Egger's test to examine publication bias as our analyses included fewer than 10 trials, but no publication bias was detected in any of the measured outcomes (p>0.05).

Several limitations of the review should also be taken into consideration when interpreting the results. 1) This review included only patients with advanced stage HCC (i.e. BCLC C stage). One trial [7] reported HCC patients with BCLC A to C. However, we only extracted the data for BCLC C patients in this analysis. Therefore, this review is applicable only to people with advanced stage HCC. 2) It included a mixture of viral and non-viral etiologies, which indicates that the review is applicable to viral or non-viral etiologies. 3) All the studies included in this review were conducted on Chinese populations. Thus, the global applicability of the evidence is limited. 4) We only included RCTs which are known to focus mostly on benefits rather than harmful events. Accordingly, this review is biased towards benefits and ignores harmful events.

## Conclusion

This review provides evidence that TACE plus ISEI may lower mortality and increase complete and overall response rates in advanced HCC patients, despite increased adverse events such as fever, nausea and/or vomiting, elevated alanine aminotransferase, abdominal pain, biloma and granulocytopenia, in comparison with TACE alone. However, the data for all comparisons were of median quality, thus, we could not reach definitive conclusions. We await the results of further welldesigned controlled trials to provide additional data regarding the role of TACE plus Iodine-125 seeds implantation therapy in advanced HCC patients.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge research funding from the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 81403163 and 81402404). We were also sponsored by the Research Fund for Excellent Dissertation of China Three Gorges University and funded by the Open Research Fund Program of the State Key Laboratory of Virology of China (No. 2017KF001).

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

# References

- 1. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM et al. Global cancer statistics. CA: Cancer J Clin 2011;61:69-90.
- Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. Hepatology (Baltimore, M) 2011;53:1020.
- Benson AB, Abrams TA, Ben-Josef E et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: hepatobiliary cancers. J NCCN 2009;7:350-91.
- 4. Hu HT, Luo JP, Li HL et al. Transarterial chemoembolization combined with computed tomography-guided

<sup>125</sup>iodine implantation enhances survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients with portal vein tumor thrombus. Oncotarget 2017;8:29258-68.

- 5. Huang M, Lin Q, Wang H et al. Survival benefit of chemoembolization plus Iodine125 seed implantation in unresectable hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma with PVTT: a retrospective matched cohort study. Eur Radiol 2016:3428-36.
- 6. Peng S, Yang Q X, Zhang T et al. Lobaplatin-TACE combined with radioactive <sup>125</sup>I seed implantation for treat-

ment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:5155-60.

- Li M, He J, Meng P et al. Iodine-125 implantation plus transarterial chemoembolization for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma of 3–5cm: A propensity score matching study. Digest Liver Dis 2016;48:1082-7.
- Yang M, Fang Z, Yan Z et al. Transarterial chemoembolisation (TACE) combined with endovascular implantation of an iodine-125 seed strand for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumour thrombosis versus TACE alone: a two-arm, randomised clinical trial. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2014;140:687-8.
- 9. Wenhui LI, Dai Z, Yao L et al. Chemoembolization and stenting combined with iodine-125 seed strands for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with inferior vena cava obstruction. Experim Therap Med 2015;10:973.
- Luo J J, Zhang Z H, Liu Q X et al. Endovascular brachytherapy combined with stent placement and TACE for treatment of HCC with main portal vein tumor thrombus. Hepatol Int 2016;10:185-95.
- 11. Yang QH, Zhang W, Liu QX et al. TACE Combined with Implantation of Irradiation Stent Versus TACE Combine with Bare Stent for HCC Complicated by IVCTT.. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2016;39:1-9.
- 12. Li CX, He X, Hu BS et al. Efficacy of therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombus. Cancer Biol Ther 2011;12:865-71.
- Moher D. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Int Med 2009;151:264.
- Lencioni R. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular carcinom. Semin Liver Dis 2010;30:52-60.
- National Cancer Institute. Common terminology criteria for adverse events, version 3.0. http://ctep.cancer. gov/reporting/ctc. html Published 9 August 2006. Accessed 18 June 2009.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629-34.

- 17. Shao YY, Huang CC, Liang PC, et al. Hepatic arterial infusion of chemotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Asia-Pacif J Clin Oncol 2010;6:80-8.
- Han K, Kim JH, Ko GY, et al. Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal venous tumor thrombosis: A comprehensive review.World J Gastroenterol 2016;22:407-16.
- 19. Liu L, Zhang C, Zhao Y et al. Transarterial chemoembolization for the treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis: prognostic factors in a single-center study of 188 patients. BioMed Res Int 2014;2014:1-8.
- 20. Zhu K, Chen J, Lai L et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombus: treatment with transarterial chemoembolization combined with sorafenib--a retrospective controlled study. Radiology 2014;272:284-93.
- 21. Hu H, Duan Z, Long X et al. Comparison of treatment safety and patient survival in elderly versus nonelderly patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma receiving sorafenib combined with transarterial chemoembolization: a propensity score matching study. PLoS One 2015;10 (2):1-11.
- 22. Luo J, Yan Z, Liu Q et al. Endovascular placement of iodine-125 seed strand and stent combined with chemoembolization for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with tumor thrombus in main portal vein. J Vasc Intervent Radiol 2011;22:479-89.
- 23. Zhang JQ, Huang XQ, Zhang J et al. [CT guided radioactive seed (125)I implantation in treating multiple bone metastasis]. Zhong hua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2008;88: 2739-42.
- 24. Zhang W, Luo J, Liu Q et al. Brachytherapy with Iodine-125 seeds strand for treatment of main portal vein tumor thrombi: an experimental study in a rabbit model. Am J Cancer Res 2016; 6:587-99.
- 25. Waksman R, Robinson KA, Crocker IR et al. Endovascular low-dose irradiation inhibits neointima formation after coronary artery balloon injury in swine. A possible role for radiation therapy in restenosis prevention. Circulation 1995; 91:1533-9.