# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Efficacy of bevacizumab combined with albumin-bound paclitaxel in the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer

Biao Liu<sup>1</sup>, Ran An<sup>2</sup>, Jianwei Yu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanxian Central Hospital, Heze, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanxian Dongda Hospital, Heze, China.

## Summary

Purpose: To investigate the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab (BEV) combined with albumin-bound paclitaxel (ABP) in the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer.

Methods: Eighty-six patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer admitted to our hospital from March 2014 to March 2016 were enrolled and randomly divided into two groups: BEV+ABP group (n=43, treated with BEV combined with ABP) and ABP group (n=43, treated with ABP alone). The clinical objective response rate (ORR), changes in serum carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125) level and adverse reactions were compared between the two groups. Additionally, the progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were evaluated after treatment.

**Results:** The clinical ORR and disease control rate (DCR) were 86.0% (37/43) and 93.0% (40/43) in BEV+ABP group and 62.8% (27/43) and 79.1% (34/43) in ABP group, respectively. The clinical ORR of patients exhibited a statistically significant difference between two groups (p=0.025), which was overtly higher in the BEV + ABP group than in ABP group, while the DCR had no statistically significant

difference between two groups (p=0.117). The serum CA125 level was evidently decreased in both groups after treatment (p<0.05) compared with that before treatment, but without significant difference between two groups after treatment (*p*=0.220). The major adverse reactions were myelosuppression, gastrointestinal reactions, alopecia, rash, fatigue and peripheral neurotoxicity. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence rate of adverse reactions between two groups (p>0.05). All patients were followed up for 6-29 months. The median OS was 16.3 and 12.6 months in BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively, clearly longer in BEV + ABP group than in ABP group (p=0.007). The median PFS in BEV + ABP group was clearly longer than in ABP group (8.9 vs. 6.7 months, p=0.028).

Conclusions: In comparison with ABP alone, BEV combined with ABP in the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer improves the clinical efficacy, PFS and OS, with good tolerance, and is worthy of popularization and application in clinical practice.

Key words: recurrent ovarian cancer, bevacizumab, albu*min-bound paclitaxel, platinum-resistance* 

## Introduction

women in China, with 41,516 new cases in the registered areas in 2010 [1]. Since there is a lack of effective screening methods, 70% of patients with ovarian cancer are already in advanced stage at presentation [2]. Currently, cytoreductive surgery ly applied in clinical practice and achieves a good and platinum-based chemotherapy have achieved therapeutic effect in various malignant tumors like

Ovarian cancer is the 9<sup>th</sup> malignancy among a relatively high remission rate, but high recurrence rate and platinum-resistance still severely affect the prospective life span and quality of life of patients [3,4].

Paclitaxel, an anticancer drug, has been wide-

Corresponding author: Jianwei Yu, BM. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanxian Dongda Hospital, no. 1 East Shunshi Rd, Shanxian Development Zone, Heze, Shandong, 274300 China. Tel: +86 015054010905, Email: yujianwei0905@sina.com

Received: 30/07/2019; Accepted: 25/08/2019



breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer and ovarian cancer [2]. Albumin-bound paclitaxel (ABP), a novel paclitaxel preparation with albumin as a solvent, has stronger anti-tumor ability, has less toxic effects because hematological toxicity and severe anaphylaxis are lower compared with traditional paclitaxel preparations [5,6]. ABP shows obvious efficacy in the treatment of platinum-sensitive or platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer, peritoneal cancer and fallopian tube cancer, with tolerable adverse reactions [7,8]. Studies have proved that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is highly expressed in ovarian cancer tissues, which is closely correlated with the recurrence and metastasis of ovarian cancer. Studies have also demonstrated that bevacizumab (BEV), a monoclonal antibody against VEGF, represses VEGF activity and slows tumor growth, recurrence and metastasis in a model of ovarian cancer [9,10]. Currently, the role of BEV in combination chemotherapy for various tumors has been widely studied, but the efficacy of BEV combined with existing chemotherapy regimens in the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer is unclear [11].

This study compared and analyzed the safety and efficacy of BEV combined with ABP and ABP alone in treating patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer, to provide a reference for the therapeutic regimens of such patients.

## Methods

### General data

Eighty-six patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer treated in our hospital from March 2014 to March 2016 were studied.

#### Inclusion criteria

Patients definitely diagnosed with ovarian cancer via imaging and pathology, with clear histological type and tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage, those having recurrence within 6 months after discontinuation when clinical complete remission (CR) was achieved with platinum-based chemotherapy after cytoreductive surgery combined with sufficient and regular chemotherapy, those with acceptable general condition, with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score  $\leq 1$ , and those with positive VEGF expression in immunohistochemistry examination.

#### Exclusion criteria

Patients with severe cardiovascular or cerebrovascular diseases or diseases of other systems, those treated with paclitaxel, ABP or other anthracycline anticancer drugs previously, or those allergic to ABP and/or BEV.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the hospital and signed informed consent was provided

by the patients and their families. These 86 patients were divided into BEV + ABP group (n=43) and ABP group (n=43) using a random number table. No statistically significant differences were detected in basic data of patients including age, menopausal status, histological type, tumor stage, ECOG score and first-line treatment between two groups (p>0.05) (Table 1).

#### Therapeutic methods

BEV + ABP group: The ABP for injection (Abraxis Bioscience, Summit, NJ, USA, NMPN: JX20060230, 100 mg) was dissolved in accordance with the instructions  $(135-175 \text{ mg/m}^2)$  and intravenously infused for 30 min, once a day. BEV (Roche Pharmaceuticals, Basel, Switzerland, batch number: \$20100023) was intravenously infused for 90 min, with the dose calculated based on the body weight of patients (7.5 mg/kg). Tropisetron was routinely administered to prevent vomiting and other symptoms. During chemotherapy the patients were exposed to ECG-monitoring. Three weeks were regarded as one course, and six consecutive courses of chemotherapy were to be administered. Before each cycle of treatment, ECOG scoring was assessed. Routine blood, urine, and stool tests, liver and renal functions, coagulation, tumor marker carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125), and cardiopulmonary function were evaluated.

ABP group: the patients were treated with ABP alone for a total of six continuous courses, with every three weeks representing one course.

#### Observation indicators

At the end of 6 courses of chemotherapy, the efficacy was evaluated according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST1.1) [12]: CR: complete disappearance of the originally enhanced opacification of lesions in the arterial phase on enhanced CT images; partial remission (PR): a decrease over 30% in the diameter of the originally enhanced opacification of lesions in the arterial phase compared with that before treatment; stable disease (SD): a decrease of less than 30% or no increase in the diameter of the originally enhanced opacification of lesions in the arterial phase compared with that before treatment; and progressive disease (PD): an increase of 20% in the diameter of the originally enhanced opacification of lesions in the arterial phase compared with that before treatment or appearance of new lesions. The objective response rate (ORR) = CR + PR, and the disease control rate (DCR) = CR + PR + SD.

The adverse effects of BEV combined with ABP chemotherapy were graded as per the Common Toxicity Criteria of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) (Version 3.0). In each cycle after receiving BEV-combined chemotherapy, the toxic and side effects of patients were evaluated. Blood pressure monitoring and electrocardiogram (ECG) were employed to assess cardiovascular toxicity, blood routine examination was carried out to judge hematological toxicity, liver function tests were adopted to assess liver toxicity, and urine routine and renal function examinations were used to evaluate the toxicity of urinary system. The toxicity was graded as 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4. Serum CA125 level was recorded and compared at 1 week before treatment and 4 weeks after treatment. The patients were followed up to record tumor recurrence, median progression-free survival (PFS) and median overall survival (OS). The follow-up was ended in March 2019.

#### Statistics

SPSS 22.0 was utilized for statistical analyses. Measurement data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, and *t*-test was employed for the comparison between two groups. Enumeration data were expressed as ratio (%), and x<sup>2</sup> test was used for comparison among groups. P<0.05 suggested that the difference was statistically significant. Survival curves were plotted by Kaplan-Meier method. Log-rank test was applied to compare the survival rate between groups, and p<0.05 suggested a statistically significant difference.

## Results

#### General information of patients

As to pathological type of ovarian cancer, there were 22 (51.2%) and 26 (60.5%) cases of serous cystadenocarcinoma, 18 (41.9%) and 14 (32.6%) cases of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma, 2 (4.6%) cases and 1 (2.3%) case of endometrial carcinoma, and 1 (2.3%) case and 2 (4.6%) cases of undifferentiated carcinoma in the BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively. Besides, the majority of these patients was in TNM stage III and IV, with

27 (62.9%) patients and 29 (67.5%) patients in stage III, and 12 (27.9%) patients and 9 (20.9%) patients in stage IV in BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively. ECOG score: 25 (58.1%) patients and 18 (41.9%) patients got 0 point and 1 point in BEV + ABP group, respectively. In ABP group, 21 (48.8%) patients and 22 (55.2%) patients got 0 point and 1 point, respectively. The time of first-line treatment received by patients was  $5.24\pm2.63$  months and  $5.86\pm2.52$  months in BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively. There were no statistically significant differences in age, pathological type, TNM stage, ECOG score, menopausal status and first-line treatment between the two groups (p>0.05) (Table 1).

## Clinical efficacy

In BEV + ABP group, there were 23 cases with CR, 14 cases with PR, 3 cases with SD and 3 cases with PD, with clinical ORR of 86.0% (37/43) and DCR of 93.0% (40/43). In ABP group, there were 16 cases with CR, 11 cases with PR, 7 cases with SD and 9 cases with PD, with clinical ORR of 62.8% (27/43) and DCR of 79.1% (34/43). The clinical ORR of patients displayed a statistically significant difference between two groups (p=0.025), which was overtly higher in the BEV + ABP group than in ABP group, while the DCR of patients had no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p=0.117) (Table 2).

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients

Characteristics	Bevacizumab+ABP group (n=43) n (%)	ABP group (n=43) n (%)	p value
Age, years, mean±SD	47.46±8.83	46.61±8.39	0.648
Histology			0.682
Serous cystadenocarcinoma	22 (51.2)	26 (60.5)	
Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma	18 (41.9)	14 (32.6)	
Endometrioid carcinoma	2 (4.6)	1 (2.3)	
Undifferentiated carcinoma	1 (2.3)	2 (4.6)	
ECOG score			0.387
0	25 (58.1)	21 (48.8)	
1	18 (41.9)	22 (55.2)	
TNM stage			0.683
Ι	2 (4.6)	1 (2.3)	
II	2 (4.6)	4 (9.3)	
III	27 (62.9)	29 (67.5)	
IV	12 (27.9)	9 (20.9)	
Menopause			0.289
Yes	32 (74.4)	36 (83.7)	
No	11 (25.6)	7 (16.3)	
First-line treatment (months), mean±SD	5.24±2.63	5.86±2.52	0.144

ABP: albumin bound paclitaxel, ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, TNM: tumor, node, metastasis

## *Comparison of serum CA125 level*

At 1 week before treatment, the CA125 level of patients was measured and recorded. It was 673.41±67.39 kU/L and 654.38±50.68 kU/L in two groups, respectively, displaying no statistically significant difference (p=0.143). At 4 weeks after treatment, the CA125 level of two groups of patients was recorded again. It was decreased to 22.76±22.80 kU/L and 28.54±20.52 kU/L in two groups, respectively. Compared with that before treatment, the serum CA125 level was evidently lowered in both groups after treatment (p<0.05). Such a decline

was greater in the BEV+ABP group than in the ABP group after treatment, but there was no statistically significant difference in the serum CA125 level between two groups after treatment (p=0.220) (Table 3).

## Adverse reactions and complications

The major adverse reactions of patients were myelosuppression, gastrointestinal reaction, alopecia, rash, fatigue and peripheral neurotoxicity. As to myelosuppression, grade III-IV granulocytopenia occurred in 4 (9.3%) and 2 (4.7%) patients in the

Table	<b>2.</b> C	omparison	of tur	nor	response	of	patients	in	the	two	studied	groups	
-------	-------------	-----------	--------	-----	----------	----	----------	----	-----	-----	---------	--------	--

Responses	Bevacizumab+ABP group (n=43) n (%)	ABP group (n=43) n (%)	p value
Complete response (CR)	23 (53.5)	16 (37.2)	
Partial response (PR)	14 (32.6)	11 (25.6)	
Stable disease (SD)	3 (7.0)	7 (16.3)	
Progressive disease (PD)	3 (7.0)	9 (20.9)	
ORR (CR+PR)	37 (86.0)	27 (62.8)	0.025
DCR (CR+PR+SD)	40 (93.0)	34 (79.1)	0.117

ABP: albumin bound paclitaxel, ORR: objective response rate, DCR: disease control rate

<b>Fable 3.</b> Comparison of serum	CA125 level of patients in	the two groups (mean±SD)
-------------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------

n=43	n=43	p valae
673.41±67.39	654.38±50.68	0.143
22.76±22.80	28.54±20.52	0.220
	673.41±67.39 22.76±22.80	n=45 n=45   673.41±67.39 654.38±50.68   22.76±22.80 28.54±20.52

ABP: albumin bound paclitaxel, CA 125: carbohydrate antigen 125

Table 4.	Comparison	of adverse	reactions	of patients	in the	e two studie	d groups
----------	------------	------------	-----------	-------------	--------	--------------	----------

Adverse reactions	Bevacizumal Grade	9+ABP group III-IV	ABP g Grade	p value	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Fever	14 (32.6)	0 (0)	12 (27.9)	0(0)	0.815
Fatigue	35 (81.4)	2 (4.7)	31 (72.1)	1 (2.3)	0.461
Erythema	21 (48.8)	0 (0)	16 (37.2)	0 (0)	0.384
Alopecia	33 (76.7)	0 (0)	27 (62.8)	0 (0)	0.240
Neutropenia	28 (65.1)	4 (9.3)	23 (53.5)	2 (4.7)	0.380
Thrombocytopenia	30 (69.8)	5 (11.6)	26 (60.5)	2 (4.7)	0.498
Anemia	14 (32.6)	0 (0)	17 (39.5)	0 (0)	0.654
Nausea, vomiting	34 (79.1)	8 (18.6)	29 (67.4)	5 (11.6)	0.330
Diarrhea	10 (23.2)	0 (0)	7 (16.3)	0 (0)	0.605
Liver dysfunction	9 (20.9)	0 (0)	6 (13.9)	0 (0)	0.589
Renal dysfunction	7 (16.3)	0 (0)	5 (11.6)	0 (0)	0.549
Peripheral neuropathy	23 (53.5)	2 (4.7)	20 (46.5)	1 (2.3)	0.667
Hypertension	4 (9.3)	0 (0)	3 (7.0)	0 (0)	1.000

ABP: albumin bound paclitaxel



**Figure 1.** Kaplan-Meier survival curve of patients in the two groups. **A:** Overall survival rate of patients in the Bevacizumab+ABP group was significantly higher than that of the ABP group (p=0.007). **B:** Progression-free survival rate of patients in the Bevacizumab+ABP group was significantly higher than that of the ABP group (p=0.028).

BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively, and grade III-IV thrombocytopenia in 5 (11.6%) and 2 (4.7%) patients. In addition, grade III-IV nausea and vomiting were found in 8 (18.6%) patients and 5 (11.6%) patients in the BEV + ABP group and ABP group, respectively. Besides, there were 2 (4.7%) cases of fatigue and 1 (2.3%) case of peripheral neurotoxicity in both groups. Other adverse reactions were mostly grade I-II reactions, within patient tolerance. There were no treatment-related deaths. There was no statistically significant difference in the incidence rate of adverse reactions between the two groups (p>0.05) (Table 4).

#### Patient survival

All patients were followed-up for 6-29 months, without cases dropping out. The median OS of patients was 16.3 months (1-29 months) in BEV + ABP groups and 12.6 months (1-26 months) in ABP group, with statistically significant difference (p=0.007). Moreover, it was obviously longer in BEV + ABP group than in ABP group. The median PFS of patients was 8.9 months (1-18 months) in BEV + ABP group and 6.7 months (1-14 months) in ABP group, with a statistically significant difference (p=0.028). The PFS of patients was also notably longer in BEV + ABP group than in ABP group. Survival curves were plotted by Kaplan-Meier method. Log-rank test revealed that the OS and PFS of patients had statistically significant differences between two groups (Figure 1).

## Discussion

Ovarian cancer is one of the malignancies originating from the ovarian epithelium. In China,

JBUON 2019; 24(6): 2310

there are more than 400,000 new cases of ovarian cancer every year, and most patients tend to be diagnosed at middle or advanced stage in the examination on admission to hospital for the first time since there are no obvious clinical symptoms in the early stage of ovarian cancer [13,14]. For the treatment of ovarian cancer, there are many nonplatinum chemotherapeutic drugs, but the total response rate is less than 30%, and the efficacy is worse, especially in recurrent, drug-resistant and refractory ovarian cancer [15]. Based on the time of ovarian cancer recurrence, platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer refers to ovarian cancer recurring within 6 months after discontinuation of platinum-based chemotherapy, and platinumsensitive recurrent ovarian cancer refers to ovarian cancer recurring after 6 months after discontinuation of therapy [16]. As to the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer, the paclitaxel/cisplatin (PC) regimen is the most commonly used one at present, but its improvement in PFS is not significant [17]. As a result, finding out new drugs and methods controlling the progression of tumors to improve the quality of life and survival of patients is an important issue in the clinic.

ABP is a new preparation bound to human albumin. Compared with conventional paclitaxel preparations, ABP has a relatively large volume of distribution after intravenous injection and is more widely distributed outside the blood vessels and/or in tissues. In addition, ABP achieves a high total clearance and a remarkably lowered incidence rate of treatment-related adverse reactions in comparison with conventional paclitaxel injection [18]. As the pathogenesis and treatment of ovarian cancer continue to be understood in

depth, molecular targeted therapy has become a ian cancer were studied) conducted by Tillmanns research hotspot in the field of ovarian cancer treatment. BEV blocks the binding of VEGF to receptors and suppresses the proliferation of vascular endothelial cells and angiogenesis, thus inhibiting tumor growth and achieving the goals of targeted therapy [19]. Moreover, BEV is able to promote normalization of blood vessels and facilitate the delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs in tumor tissues, thereby exerting a more effective anti-tumor effect [20]. It has been widely applied in malignant tumors such as lung cancer (including non-small cell lung cancer and small cell lung cancer), gastric cancer, breast cancer and cervical cancer, where it has achieved good therapeutic effects and can distinctly prolong the OS and PFS of patients, with adverse reactions within the tolerance of most patients [21,22]. Phase II clinical trials of BEV in the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer and phase III clinical trials of BEV as the first-line treatment drug in adjuvant therapy of ovarian cancer (including GOG-218 and ICON7) have proved that BEV used in traditional chemotherapy can effectively prolong the PFS and OS of patients with ovarian cancer [23,24]. According to reports of Richardson et al [25], the clinical response rate of BEV combined with gemcitabine+cisplatin in the treatment of 35 patients with recurrent ovarian cancer was 78%.

In this study, it was found that the ORR in the BEV + ABP group was significantly higher than in ABP group [86.0% (37/43) vs. 62.8% (27/43), p=0.025], suggesting that the combination of BEV and ABP evidently increases the sensitivity of patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer to chemotherapy. Meanwhile, the PFS and OS of patients were remarkably higher in BEV + ABP group than those in ABP group, indicating that BEV combined with ABP overtly improves the anticipated survival of patients. The above results are similar to the findings of the study (in which, 84 patients with platinum-resistant recurrent ovar-

et al [26].

During chemotherapy, adverse reactions are important safety factors, which affect the treatment process of patients and even lead to the termination of chemotherapy. Gastrointestinal reaction, neutropenia, proteinuria and hemorrhage are common adverse reactions of BEV and paclitaxel used in chemotherapy. The mechanism of action is related to the dysfunction of vascular endothelial cells after VEGF inhibition. When applied, monitoring of blood pressure, coagulation and lower extremity blood vessels should be strengthened, and early targeted treatment should be conducted, thereby reducing the discontinuation of the medication due to relevant adverse reactions [27]. The results of this study revealed that the number of adverse reactions in BEV + ABP group was greater than in the ABP group, but the total incidence rate of adverse reactions exhibited no statistically significant difference between two groups (p>0.05).

There are still some shortcomings in this study: the number of subjects was relatively small, the follow-up was not comprehensive, and the effect of combination therapy on the quality of life of patients was not evaluated. Therefore, further multi-center randomized controlled clinical trials with a large sample size are needed to verify the conclusions.

# Conclusions

In comparison with ABP alone, BEV combined with ABP applied in the treatment of platinumresistant recurrent ovarian cancer markedly improves the clinical efficacy, PFS and OS of patients, with patient good tolerance, which is worthy of popularization and application in clinical practice.

# **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

# References

- Chen WQ, Zheng RS, Zhang SW, Zeng HM, Zou XN. The incidences and mortalities of major cancers in China, 2010. Chin J Cancer 2014;33:402-5.
- Vlad C, Dina C, Kubelac P, Vlad D, Pop B, Achimas CP. 2. Expression of toll-like receptors in ovarian cancer. JBUON 2018;23:1725-31.
- Yu Y, Zhang X, Tian H, Zhang Z, Tian Y. Knockdown of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR increases cisplatin sensitivity in ovarian cancer by inhibiting cispl-

atin-induced autophagy. JBUON 2018;23:1396-1401.

- Orzechowska BU, Jedryka M, Zwolinska K, Matkowski 4. R. VSV based virotherapy in ovarian cancer: the past, the present and ...future? J Cancer 2017;8:2369-83.
- 5 Ibrahim NK, Desai N, Legha S et al. Phase I and pharmacokinetic study of ABI-007, a Cremophor-free, protein-stabilized, nanoparticle formulation of paclitaxel. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:1038-44.
- Desai N, Trieu V, Yao Z et al. Increased antitumor activ-6.

ity, intratumor paclitaxel concentrations, and endothelial cell transport of cremophor-free, albumin-bound paclitaxel, ABI-007, compared with cremophor-based paclitaxel. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:1317-24.

- 7. Teneriello MG, Tseng PC, Crozier M et al. Phase II evaluation of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel in platinum-sensitive patients with recurrent ovarian, peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:1426-31.
- 8. Coleman RL, Brady WE, McMeekin DS et al. A phase II evaluation of nanoparticle, albumin-bound (nab) paclitaxel in the treatment of recurrent or persistent platinum-resistant ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol 2011;122:111-5.
- 9. Teng LS, Jin KT, He KF, Wang HH, Cao J, Yu DC. Advances in combination of antiangiogenic agents targeting VEGF-binding and conventional chemotherapy and radiation for cancer treatment. J Chin Med Assoc 2010;73:281-8.
- Monk BJ, Choi DC, Pugmire G, Burger RA. Activity of bevacizumab (rhuMAB VEGF) in advanced refractory epithelial ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2005;96:902-5.
- 11. Konner JA, Grabon DM, Gerst SR et al. Phase II study of intraperitoneal paclitaxel plus cisplatin and intravenous paclitaxel plus bevacizumab as adjuvant treatment of optimal stage II/III epithelial ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:4662-8.
- 12. Nishino M, Jackman DM, Hatabu H et al. New Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) guidelines for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: comparison with original RECIST and impact on assessment of tumor response to targeted therapy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2010;195:W221-8.
- 13. Hosein PJ, de Lima LGJ, Pastorini VH et al. A phase II trial of nab-Paclitaxel as second-line therapy in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2013;36:151-6.
- 14. John TA, Vogel SM, Trippathi C, Malik AB, Minshall RD. Quantitative analysis of albumin uptake and transport in the rat microvessel endothelial monolayer. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2003;284:L187-96.
- 15. Minshall RD, Trippathi C, Vogel SM, Malik AB. Vesicle formation and trafficking in endothelial cells and regulation of endothelial barrier function. Histochem Cell Biol 2002;117:105-12.
- Liu XH, Man YN, Wu XZ. Recurrence season impacts the survival of epithelial ovarian cancer patients. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014;15:1627-32.

- 17. Naumann RW, Coleman RL. Management strategies for recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Drugs 2011;71:1397-1412.
- Liao JB, Swensen RE, Ovenell KJ et al. Phase II trial of albumin-bound paclitaxel and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor as an immune modulator in recurrent platinum resistant ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2017;144:480-5.
- 19. Jin K, Shen Y, He K, Xu Z, Li G, Teng L. Aflibercept (VEGF Trap): one more double-edged sword of anti-VEGF therapy for cancer? Clin Transl Oncol 2010;12:526-32.
- 20. Randall LM, Monk BJ. Bevacizumab toxicities and their management in ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2010;117:497-504.
- 21. Borderud SP, Li Y, Burkhalter JE, Sheffer CE, Ostroff JS. Electronic cigarette use among patients with cancer: characteristics of electronic cigarette users and their smoking cessation outcomes. Cancer 2014;120:3527-35.
- 22. Tewari KS, Sill MW, Penson RT et al. Bevacizumab for advanced cervical cancer: final overall survival and adverse event analysis of a randomised, controlled, openlabel, phase 3 trial (Gynecologic Oncology Group 240). Lancet 2017;390:1654-63.
- 23. Han ES, Burger RA, Darcy KM et al. Predictive and prognostic angiogenic markers in a gynecologic oncology group phase II trial of bevacizumab in recurrent and persistent ovarian or peritoneal cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2010;119:484-90.
- 24. Stark D, Nankivell M, Pujade-Lauraine E et al. Standard chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in advanced ovarian cancer: quality-of-life outcomes from the International Collaboration on Ovarian Neoplasms (ICON7) phase 3 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol 2013;14:236-43.
- 25. Richardson DL, Backes FJ, Seamon LG et al. Combination gemcitabine, platinum, and bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer. Gynecol Oncol 2008;111:461-6.
- 26. Tillmanns TD, Lowe MP, Walker MS, Stepanski EJ, Schwartzberg LS. Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab with albumin-bound paclitaxel in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant primary epithelial ovarian or primary peritoneal carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2013;128:221-8.
- 27. Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B et al. Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA openlabel randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2014;32: 1302-8.