ORIGINAL ARTICLE

HOXD10 expression as a prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma treated with curative resection

Sujin Park¹, Sangjoon Choi¹, Hyun Hee Koh¹, Cheol- Keun Park^{1,2}, Sang Yun Ha¹

¹Department of Pathology and Translational Genomics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. ²Anatomic Pathology Reference Lab, Seegene Medical Foundation, Seoul, Korea.

Summary

Purpose: HOXD10 downregulation resulting from epigenetic changesas well as its role as a tumor suppressor have been reported in several cancers including hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs). However, the prognostic role of HOXD10 expression in HCC tissue samples has not been evaluated.

Methods: HOXD10 expression was investigated in 278 curatively resected HCC samples using immunohistochemistry and its effectiveness in predicting patient outcome was analyzed.

Results: Low expression of HOXD10 was observed in 82.7% of HCC samples, and this was associated with increased age, large tumor size and advanced stage.HOXD10 was an independent predictive factor for early tumor recurrence at less

than 2 years. Patients with low HOXD10 expression showed shorter recurrence-free survival (RFS) (p=0.024) and disease-specific survival (DSS) (p=0.016) than those with high expression. Multivariate analysis confirmed that low HOXD10 expression was an independent predictor of shorter RFS (hazard ratio 1.873, p=0.006) and DSS (hazard ratio2.504, p=0.012) than high HOXD10 expression.

Conclusions: The present study provides clinical evidence supporting the use of HOXD10 as a prognostic biomarker in curatively resected HCCs, and suggests that HOXD10 could also be a potential therapeutic target in HCC.

Key words: hepatocellular carcinoma, prognosis, recurrence, HOXD10, biomarkers

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common cancer and the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Although surgical resection is the treatment of choice for HCC, prognosis after hepatectomy is poor due to the high frequency of tumor recurrence [2]. Sorafenib has been used as the most effective systemic treatment for advanced HCC s[3,4], and novel targeted agents, such as regorafenib and lenvatinib, or immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as nivolumab and pembrolizumab, have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration [5-8]. However, the application of these therapies

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth remains limited [4,6], and reliable molecular biost common cancer and the fourth most comn cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide identified in the era of precision medicine [9].

Homeobox D10 (*HOXD10*) is a member of the homeobox gene family, which encodes homeoproteins that serve as transcription factors and play an important role in carcinogenesis by regulating cell growth, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis [10]. *HOXD10* is known to be downregulated by epigenetic mechanisms and play an important role as a tumor suppressor protein in some types of cancer including cholangiocellular carcinoma, prostate cancer, thyroid papillary cancer, colon can-

Corresponding author: Sang Yun Ha, MD, PhD. Department of Pathology and Translational Genomics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea. Tel: +82-2-3410-1586; Fax: +82-2-3410-0025; Email: sangyun.ha@skku.edu Received: 20/11/2020; Accepted: 03/01/2021

🕱 This work by JBUON is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

cer, pancreatic cancer and endometrial cancer [11-16]. A recent study by Guo et al revealed frequent downregulation of *HOXD10* by hypermethylation in HCC and its association with shorter patient survival [17]. However, the prognostic role of *HOXD10* protein expression in HCC tissue samples has not been reported.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate *HOXD10* protein expression in surgically resected HCC samples using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and analyze its effectiveness in predicting patient outcomes.

Methods

Patients and specimens

A total of 283 patients treated with curative hepatectomy as a first line of treatment for primary HCC between July 2000 and May 2006 at the Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea were enrolled in this study. Five patients who presented with insufficient tissue on the tissue microarray (TMA) were excluded, leaving 278 patients within the study cohort.

Curatively resected tumors had complete resection margins (confirmed by microscopic examination) and no residual tumors (confirmed by radiological examination one month after surgery). All tumor tissues were confirmed by histology and tumor staging was performed according to both the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system (8th edition) [16] and Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging classification [19]. Intrahepatic metastasis and multicentric occurrence were defined according to previously reported criteria [20], and tumor necrosis or tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) were identified by hematoxylin and eosin staining as described previously [21]. All patients were followed up every 3 months after surgery, with threephase dynamic computed tomography scans or magnetic resonance imaging as well as serum α-fetoprotein (AFP) level evaluations. Recurrence-free survival (RFS) or disease-specific survival (DSS) was defined as the difference

between the date of surgery and date of recurrence or HCC-related death, respectively [20]. The Institutional Review Board of the Samsung Medical Center approved this study and waived the need for informed consent (IRB No. 2020-09-161).

IHC

IHC was performed using TMA consisting of two 2 mm cores of HCC tissue as previously described [23]. The sections were incubated with a rabbit anti-HOXD10 antibody (aa201-230, 1:150, LifeSpan BioSicenes, Seattle WA, USA) for 15 min in a Bond-max autoimmunostainer (Leica Biosystems, Melbourne, Australia) after antigen retrieval with 100 ml of ER1 buffer (Leica Biosystems). Antigen-antibody chromogenic reactions were developed for 10 min using the Bond[™] Polymer refine detection kit, DS9800 (Vision Biosystems, Melbourne, Australia). Normal prostate tissues were used as a control. Nuclear staining with moderate to strong intensity in more than 5% of the tumor cells was defined as high expression (Figure 1).

Statistics

Pearson's chi square test, Fisher's exact test or Cochran-Armitage test were used to analyze the relationship between HOXD10 expression and clinicopathologic parameters, as appropriate. Logistic regression analysis was used to predict early recurrence, and the Kaplan-Meier survival method was used to analyze survival rates with any differences compared using the log-rank test. Cox's proportional hazard regression model was used to assess the association between clinicopathologic factors and survival time. Prognostic factors with p values less than 0.05 in the univariate analysis were carried over into the multivariate analysis. We examined the proportional hazard assumption graphically to determine whether variables in the Cox proportional hazard model were constants independent of time. Two-sided p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS v25.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and R software.



Figure 1. Representative figures of HOXD10 immunohistochemistry. **A:** Low expression samples: Nuclei of tumor cells were negative for HOXD10. **B:** High expression samples: Tumor cells show nuclear staining for HOXD10.

Results

HOXD10 expression in HCC tissues and its association with clinicopathologic features

HOXD10 expression was defined as high in 48 cases (17.3%) and low in 230cases (82.7%) within our study population. The clinicopathologic features of the study population and association of these features with HOXD10 expression are summarized in Table 1.

A total of 230 (82.7%) male and 48 (17.3%) female patients were enrolled in this study, and their age at diagnosis ranged from 17 to 76 (median: 53) years. The largest tumor size was greater than 5 cm in 94 (33.8%) cases. On histopathological examination, the tumors in 222 (79.9%) cases demonstrated Edmondson grade II differentiation. Microvascular or major portal vein invasion was observed in 152 (54.7%) and 11 (4%) cases, respectively, while 64(23.0%) patients presented with intrahepatic metastasis and another 17(6.1%) had multicentric tumors. Necrosis was detected in 67 (24.1%) cases and TIL was detected in 86 (31.1%) cases. The tumors in our cohort were then staged using the AJCC 8th edition parameters as follows: T1a, 40 (14.4%) cases; T1b, 85 (30.6%); T2, 109 (39.2%); T3, 33 (11.9%); and T4, 11(4.0%) cases. The laboratory data showed that 29 (10.4%) patients were hypoalbuminemic and 99 (36.9%) patients had elevated AFP levels (>200 ng/mL). Most of the patients had underlying hepatitis B or C virus infection, with only 37 (13.3%) patients testing negative for both viruses;140 (50.4%) patients had a history of cirrhotic liver disease. Approximately half of the study population (138 patients, 49.6%) experienced tumor recurrence before 2 years after surgery and 49 (35%) of the remaining patients experienced recurrence after 2 years of surgery.

Low *HOXD10* expression was significantly associated with increased age (>55 years, p=0.008), large tumor size (>5.0 cm; p<0.001), advanced AJC-CT (p=0.007) and BCLC stages (p<0.001), a history of liver cirrhosis (p=0.013), and early recurrence (p=0.013).

HOXD10 expression is a predictor of early recurrence

Using univariate logistic regression analysis, we were able to determine that increased tumor size (>5.0cm; p<0.001), high Edmondson grade (III; p=0.005), high serum AFP levels (>200ng/mL; p=0.032), and the presence of viral infection (p=0.011) can predict early tumor recurrence in clinical samples. Histopathologic features, such as the presence of microvascular invasion (p<0.001), major portal vein invasion (p=0.024), intrahepatic metastasis (p<0.001), necrosis (p<0.001), low TIL

 Table 1. The association between HOXD10 expression and clinicopathologic parameters

Parameters	HOXD10 expression					
	Total, n=278 n(%)	Low, n=230 (82.7%) n(%)	High, n=48 (17.3%) n(%)	p value		
Age, year						
≤55	161 (57.9)	125 (77.6)	36 (22.4)	0.008		
>55	117 (42.1)	105 (89.7)	12 (10.3)			
Gender						
Female	48 (17.3)	39 (81.3)	9 (18.8)	0.765		
Male	230 (82.7)	191 (83.0)	39 (17.0)			
Tumor size, cm						
≤5.0	184 (66.2)	141 (76.6)	43 (23.4)	< 0.001		
>5.0	94 (33.8)	89 (94.7)	5 (5.3)			
Edmondson grade						
Ι	32 (11.5)	27 (84.4)	5 (15.6)	0.111		
II	222 (79.9)	187 (84.2)	35 (15.8)			
III	24 (8.6)	16 (66.7)	8 (33.3)			
Microvascular invasion						
(-)	126 (45.3)	100 (79.4)	26 (20.6)	0.176		
(+)	152 (54.7)	130 (85.5)	22 (14.5)			

Continued on the next page

Parameters	HOXD10 expression					
	Total, n=278	Low, n=230 (82.7%)	High, n=48 (17.3%)	p value		
	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)			
Major portal vein invasion ^b						
(-)	267 (96.0)	219 (82.0)	48 (18.0)	0.221		
(+)	11 (4.0)	11 (100.0)	0 (0.0)			
Intrahepatic metastasis						
(-)	214 (77.0)	172 (80.4)	42 (19.6)	0.057		
(+)	64 (23.0)	58 (90.6)	6 (9.4)			
Multicentric occurrence ^b						
(-)	261 (93.9)	215 (82.4)	46 (17.6)	0.746		
(+)	17 (6.1)	15 (88.2)	2 (11.8)			
Necrosis						
(-)	211 (75.9)	174 (82.5)	37 (17.5)	0.833		
(+)	67 (24.1)	56 (83.6)	11 (16.4)			
TIL						
(-)	192 (69.1)	161 (83.9)	31 (16.1)	0.600		
(+)	55 (19.8)	45 (81.8)	10 (18.2)			
(++)	31 (11.1)	24 (77.4)	7 (22.6)			
AJCC T-stage ^c						
la	40 (14.4)	27 (67.5)	13 (32.5)	0.007		
1b	85 (30.6)	73 (85.9)	12 (14.1)			
2	109 (39.2)	88 (80.7)	21 (19.3)			
3	33 (11.9)	31 (93.9)	2 (6.1)			
4	11 (4.0)	11 (100.0)	0 (0.0)			
BCLC stage ^c						
0-A	159 (57.2)	119 (74.8)	40 (25.2)	<0.001		
В	106 (38.1)	98 (92.5)	8 (7.5)			
С	13 (4.7)	13 (100.0)	0 (0.0)			
Albumin level, g/dL						
>3.5	249 (89.6)	207 (83.1)	42 (16.9)	0.606		
≤3.5	29 (10.4)	23 (79.3)	6 (20.7)			
AFP level, ng/mL						
≤200	169 (63.1)	141 (83.4)	28 (16.6)	0.586		
>200	99 (36.9)	80 (80.8)	19 (19.2)			
Etiology ^b						
Non-viral	37 (13.3)	31 (83.8)	6 (16.2)	1.000		
HBV	211 (75.9)	173 (82.0)	38 (18.0)			
HCV	26 (9.4)	22 (84.6)	4 (15.4)			
HBV & HCV	4 (1.4)	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)			
Liver cirrhosis						
(-)	138 (49.6)	122 (88.4)	16 (11.6)	0.013		
(+)	140 (50.4)	108 (77.1)	32 (22.9)			
Early recurrence (≤2 years)	- (/		- ()			
(-) ^a	140 (50.4)	108 (77.1)	32 (22.9)	0.013		
(+)	138 (49.6)	122 (88.4)	16 (11.6)	2.010		
Late recurrence (>2 years)		(00.1)				
(-) ^a	91 (65.0)	70 (76.9)	21 (23.1)	0.933		
(+)	49 (35.0)	38 (77.6)	11 (22.4)	0.755		

TIL: tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; AFP: α-fetoprotein; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus. ^aNo early or late recurrence, ^bby Fisher's exact test, ^cby Cochran-Armitage trend test, otherwise by chi-square test

levels (p=0.003), and low *HOXD10* expression (p=0.015), were all significantly associated with early tumor recurrence. The results of multivariate analysis showed that intrahepatic metastasis, necrosis, and low *HOXD10* expression were independent predictive factors for early tumor recurrence (odds ratio 2.260 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.037-4.925), p=0.040) (Table 2).

Impact of HOXD10 expression on the survival of HCC patients

Patients with low *HOXD10* expression presented with shorter RFS (p=0.024, Figure 2A) and DSS (p=0.016, Figure 2B) than those with high *HOXD10* expression. Consequently, we attempted to evaluate the predictive value of *HOXD10* expression for RFS and DSS. The results of univariate analysis

Variables	Univariate model			Multivariate model		
	Coefficient	OR (95% CI)	p value	Coefficient	OR (95% CI)	p value
Age (>55 yr vs. ≤55 yr)	1.056	0.656-1.700	0.823			
Sex (male vs. female)	1.087	0.583-2.026	0.793			
Tumor size (>5.0 cm vs. ≤5.0 cm)	3.171	1.877-5.357	< 0.001	1.361	0.691-2.680	0.372
Edmondson grade (III vs. I+II)	4.311	1.562-11.901	0.005	2.675	0.799-8.951	0.110
Microvascular invasion (yes vs. no)	3.902	2.364-6.440	< 0.001	0.991	0.499-1.966	0.979
Major portal vein invasion (yes vs. no)	10.859	1.371-86.024	0.024	0.716	0.071-7.246	0.777
Intrahepatic metastasis (yes vs. no)	16.192	6.683-39.231	< 0.001	12.713	5.146-31.404	< 0.001
Multicentric occurrence (yes vs. no)	0.533	0.191-1.484	0.228			
Necrosis (yes vs. no)	4.111	2.224-7.601	< 0.001	2.887	1.436-5.803	0.003
TIL (no vs. yes)	2.233	1.322-3.773	0.003	1.212	0.651-2.256	0.545
Albumin level (\leq 3.5 g/dL vs. >3.5 g/dL)	0.482	0.215-1.078	0.075			
AFP level ^a (>200 ng/mL vs. ≤200 ng/mL)	1.731	1.048-2.859	0.032	0.856	0.455-1.608	0.628
Etiology (viral vs. non-viral)	2.633	1.245-5.569	0.011	1.800	0.761-4.259	0.181
Liver cirrhosis (yes vs. no)	1.374	0.857-2.202	0.187			
HOXD10 expression (low vs high)	2.259	1.175-4.343	0.015	2.260	1.037-4.925	0.040

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models for predicting early tumor recurrence

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; TIL: tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; AFP: a-fetoprotein

^aPartial data were not available, and statistics were based on the available data



Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves according to HOXD10 expression. **A:** Recurrence free survival **B:** Disease-specific survival.

performed using Cox proportional hazard regres- vs. 0,A; p<0.001 for both RFS and DSS), hypoalbusion model revealed that (Table 3) large tumor size (>5.0cm; p<0.001), high Edmondson grade (III; p<0.001), microvascular invasion (p<0.001), major portal vein invasion (p<0.001), intrahepatic metastasis (p<0.001), necrosis (p<0.001), low TIL levels (p=0.024), advanced AJCC T (2,3,4 vs. 1; p=0.021

minemia (p=0.008 for RFS and p=0.006 for DSS), high serum AFP levels (>200ng/mL; p=0.001 for RFS and p=0.007 for DSS), and low HOXD10 expression (p=0.025 for RFS and p=0.019 for DSS) were associated with shorter RFS and DSS. Hepatitis virus infection (p=0.007) and a history of cirrhosis for RFS and p=0.001 for DSS) and BCLC stages (B,C (p=0.060) also reduced RFS but did not affect DSS.

	1	<i>c</i>		
Table 3. Univariate	analysis for recu	urrence free sur	vival and disease	specific survival

Variables	Recurrence free su	rvival	Disease specific survival		
	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
Age (>55 yr vs. ≤55 yr)	0.979 (0.730-1.312)	0.885	0.932 (0.623-1.395)	0.733	
Sex (male vs. female)	1.057 (0.729-1.533)	0.769	1.246 (0.729-2.130)	0.421	
Tumor size (>5.0 cm vs. ≤5.0 cm)	1.750 (1.303-2.350)	< 0.001	2.896 (1.946-4.310)	< 0.001	
Edmondson grade (III vs. I+II)	2.385 (1.511-3.764)	< 0.001	2.719 (1.542-4.795)	0.001	
Microvascular invasion (yes vs. no)	2.106 (1.566-2.832)	< 0.001	3.146 (2.007-4.933)	< 0.001	
Major portal vein invasion (yes vs. no)	3.325 (1.751-6.312)	< 0.001	4.910 (2.461-9.796)	< 0.001	
Intrahepatic metastasis (yes vs. no)	4.825 (3.481-6.689)	< 0.001	5.675 (3.782-8.515)	< 0.001	
Multicentric occurrence (yes vs. no)	1.151 (0.608-2.180)	0.666	0.653 (0.240-1.775)	0.403	
Necrosis (yes vs. no)	2.560 (1.860-3.525)	< 0.001	4.394 (2.941-6.567)	< 0.001	
TIL (no vs. yes)	1.446 (1.050-1.992)	0.024	2.426 (1.454-4.047)	0.001	
AJCC T-stage (2,3,4 vs 1)	1.643 (1.077-2.505)	0.021	5.568 (2.046-15.153)	0.001	
BCLC stage (B,C vs 0, A)	2.123 (1.590-2.833)	< 0.001	3.902 (2.562-5.943)	< 0.001	
Albumin level (≤3.5 g/dL vs. >3.5 g/dL)	0.552 (0.356-0.855)	0.008	0.460 (0.265-0.799)	0.006	
AFP levelª (>200 ng/mL vs. ≤200 ng/mL)	1.654 (1.231-2.221)	0.001	1.747 (1.165-2.620)	0.007	
Etiology (viral vs. non-viral)	1.994 (1.210-3.286)	0.007	1.509 (0.784-2.903)	0.218	
Liver cirrhosis (yes vs. no)	1.318 (0.988-1.758)	0.060	1.043 (0.702-1.550)	0.834	
HOXD10 expression (low vs high)	1.594 (1.059-2.398)	0.025	2.184 (1.135-4.202)	0.019	

HR: Hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; TIL: tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; BCLC: Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; AFP: a-fetoprotein

^aPartial data were not available, and statistics were based on the available data

Table 4.	Multivariate	analysis for r	ecurrence free	survival and	disease specif	ic survival
----------	--------------	----------------	----------------	--------------	----------------	-------------

Variables	Recurrence free su	rvival	Disease specific survival		
	HR (95% CI)	p value	HR (95% CI)	p value	
Age (>55 yr vs. ≤55 yr)	0.920 (0.630-1.343)	0.665	1.200 (0.735-1.960)	0.466	
Sex (male vs. female)	1.487 (0.890-2.482)	0.129	1.305 (0.701-2.600)	0.369	
Microvascular invasion (yes vs. no)	0.875 (0.571-1.340)	0.540	0.695 (0.364-1.324)	0.268	
Major portal vein invasion (yes vs. no)	0.836 (0.395-1.769)	0.639	1.465 (0.657-3.266)	0.351	
Intrahepatic metastasis (yes vs. no)	4.204 (2.700-6.544)	< 0.001	4.976 (2.827-8.757)	< 0.001	
Necrosis (yes vs. no)	2.255 (1.490-3.415)	< 0.001	4.000 (2.393-6.687)	< 0.001	
TIL (no vs. yes)	1.225 (0.861-1.743)	0.260	1.846 (1.053-3.238)	0.032	
Albumin level (≤3.5 g/dL vs. >3.5 g/dL)	0.755 (0.453-1.257)	0.280	0.782 (0.407-1.503)	0.461	
AFP level ^a (>200 ng/mL vs. ≤200 ng/mL)	1.387 (1.002-1.922)	0.049	1.119 (0.700-1.791)	0.638	
Etiology (viral vs. non-viral)	1.294 (0.757-2.214)	0.346			
Liver cirrhosis (yes vs. no)	1.373 (1.001-1.884)	0.049			
HOXD10 expression (low vs high)	1.873 (1.193-2.938)	0.006	2.504 (1.222-5.132)	0.012	

HR: Hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; TIL: tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; AFP: a-fetoprotein

^aPartial data were not available, and statistics were based on the available data.

Multivariate analysis results (Table 4) revealed that intrahepatic metastasis (p<0.001), necrosis (p<0.001), high serum AFP levels (p=0.049), and liver cirrhosis (p=0.049) were independent predictors of shorter RFS, while intrahepatic metastasis (p<0.001), necrosis (p<0.001), and low TIL levels (p=0.032) were independent predictors of shorter DSS. Low *HOXD10* expression was also an independent predictive factor for an unfavorable prognosis, showing statistical significance for reduction in both RFS (hazard ratio (HR) 1.873 (95% CI: 1.193-2.938), p=0.006) and DSS (HR 2.504 (95% CI: 1.222-5.132, p=0.012).

Discussion

In the present study we showed that 82.7% of HCC samples presented with low HOXD10 expression. This low expression was associated with increased tumor size, advanced AJCC T and BCLC stages, and frequent early recurrence. In addition, our data showed that *HOXD10* expression was an independent predictive factor for early recurrence and an independent prognostic factor for RFS and DSS, respectively.

Homeobox genes encode a group of proteins that share a common homeodomain and act as transcription factors for their respective downstream targets [24]. Homeoproteins play an important role in carcinogenesis, as they are critical for cell growth, cycle regulation, migration, and death [10,11,25,26]. *HOXD10*, a member of the homeobox gene family, is known to suppress the transcription of genes associated with the remodeling of the extracellular matrix and endothelial cell migration, contributing to the maintenance of cellular differentiation [27]. HOXD10 downregulation has been reported in various human cancers, and this downregulation is primarily controlled by changes in epigenetic methylation [14,16,17,28]. Experimental studies have suggested that HOXD10 acts as a tumor suppressor in papillary thyroid carcinoma, colon cancer, endometrial carcinoma, pancreatic cancer, and prostate cancer [12-16]. The association between HOXD10 expression and patient outcome has also been evaluated in several cancers, and increased expression has generally been linked to improved prognosis. In human cholangiocarcinoma, overall survival was significantly increased in HOXD10-positive patients [11]. In prostate cancer, tumor growth was significantly increased in a HOXD10-knockdown mouse xenograft model, and decreased HOXD10 expression was an independent predictor of shorter RFS [12]. However, in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, HOXD10 expression was increased in primary tumor cells and

decreased in lymph node metastatic cells, suggesting that it is dynamically regulated in response to tumor development and disease stage [29]. This suggests that *HOXD10* may have other functions in tumor development, and therefore, its role in different cancers should be evaluated.

To the best of our knowledge, only two previous studies have attempted to evaluate HOXD10 expression in HCC. Zhou et al showed that HOXD10 mRNA expression was downregulated in 18 HCC samples compared to their adjacent non-tumor tissues [27]. HOXD10 overexpression increased apoptosis and inhibited the proliferative, migratory, and invasive properties of HCC cell lines; additionally, it suppressed tumor growth in a nude mouse xenograft model. Guo et al reported that HOXD10 mRNA expression was regulated by promoter region methylation. HOXD10 methylation was observed in 90 of the 117 HCC samples (76.9%) included in their study, which was associated with vessel cancerous emboli, differentiation, and patient survival [17]. In addition, this study confirmed that HOXD10 acts as a tumor suppressor in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* xenograft models, and that *HOXD10* interacts directly with IGFBP3, suggesting that it is involved in extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling [17]. In the current study, we used IHC to demonstrate that reduced HOXD10 protein expression was closely related to frequent early recurrence rates and shorter RFS and DSS in a large cohort of HCC patients with long-term follow-up. These results are consistent with those of previous studies and provide clinical evidence regarding the utility of HOXD10 as a prognostic biomarker and potential therapeutic target in HCC.

Conclusion

Low *HOXD10* expression is common in HCC samples, and its expression is an independent prognostic factor for both RFS and DSS in curatively resected HCC. Our results suggest that *HOXD10* expression can be used as a prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for HCC in the future.

Acknowledgements for research support

This study was funded by the Samsung Medical Center intramural grant (#SMO1161731) and the Basic Science Research Program of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1C1B5017890).

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- 1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries 2018;68:394-424.
- 2. Sherman M. Recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2008;359:2045-7.
- Chen L, Ma X, Liu X, Cui X. Sorafenib combined with radiofrequency ablation as treatment for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and metaanalysis. JBUON 2017;22:1525-38.
- Xu Q, Huang Y, Shi H, Song Q, Xu Y. Sunitinib versus sorafenib plus transarterial chemoembolization for inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma patients. JBUON 2018;23:193-9.
- 5. Bruix J, Qin S, Merle P et al. Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;389:56-66.
- El-Khoueiry AB, Sangro B, Yau T et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): an open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 dose escalation and expansion trial. Lancet 2017;389:2492-502.
- Kudo M, Finn RS, Qin S et al. Lenvatinib versus sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2018;391:1163-73.
- 8. Zhu AX, Finn RS, Edeline J et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma previously treated with sorafenib (KEYNOTE-224): a nonrandomised, open-label phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2018;19:940-52.
- Qin LX, Tang ZY. Recent progress in predictive biomarkers for metastatic recurrence of human hepatocellular carcinoma: a review of the literature. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2004;130:497-513.
- Bhatlekar S, Fields JZ, Boman BM. HOX genes and their role in the development of human cancers. J Mol Med (Berl) 2014;92:811-23.
- 11. Yang H, Zhou J, Mi J et al. HOXD10 acts as a tumor-suppressive factor via inhibition of the RHOC/AKT/MAPK pathway in human cholangiocellular carcinoma. Oncol Rep 2015;34:1681-91.
- 12. Mo RJ, Lu JM, Wan YP et al. Decreased HoxD10 Expression Promotes a Proliferative and Aggressive Phenotype in Prostate Cancer. Curr Mol Med 2017;17: 70-8.
- Cao YM, Gu J, Zhang YS et al. Aberrant hypermethylation of the HOXD10 gene in papillary thyroid cancer with BRAFV600E mutation. Oncol Rep 2018;39:338-48.
- 14. Yuan YH, Wang HY, Lai Y et al. Epigenetic inactivation of HOXD10 is associated with human colon cancer via

inhibiting the RHOC/AKT/MAPK signaling pathway. Cell Commun Signal 2019;17:9.

- 15. Lin Z, Hu Y, Lin R, Ye H. The effect of overexpression of the HOXD10 gene on the malignant proliferation, invasion, and tumor formation of pancreatic cancer cell PANC-1. Gland Surg 2020;9:385-91.
- 16. Yang F, Liu D, Deng Y et al. Frequent promoter methylation of HOXD10 in endometrial carcinoma and its pathological significance. Oncol Lett 2020;19:3602-8.
- 17. Guo Y, Peng Y, Gao D et al. Silencing HOXD10 by promoter region hypermethylation activates ERK signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Epigenetics 2017;9:116.
- Amin MB ES, Greene F, Byrd DR, Brookland RK, Washington MK, et al. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual (8th Edn). Springer, New York, 2017.
- 19. Llovet JM, Brú C, Bruix J. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: the BCLC staging classification. Semin Liver Dis 1999;19:329-38.
- 20. Kumada T, Nakano S, Takeda I et al. Patterns of recurrence after initial treatment in patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 1997;25:87-92.
- 21. Ha SY, Choi S, Park S et al. Prognostic effect of preoperative neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio is related with tumor necrosis and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in hepatocellular carcinoma. Virchows Arch 2020.
- 22. Ha SY, Kim JH, Yang JW et al. The Overexpression of CCAR1 in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Associates with Poor Prognosis. Cancer Res Treat 2016;48:1065-73.
- 23. Lee T, Park CK, Ha SY. Prognostic Role of Apelin Receptor Expression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Treated With Curative Surgical Resection. Anticancer Res 2019;39:3025-31.
- 24. Shah N, Sukumar S. The Hox genes and their roles in oncogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer 2010;10:361-71.
- 25. Cui Y, Gao D, Linghu E et al. Epigenetic changes and functional study of HOXA11 in human gastric cancer. Epigenomics 2015;7:201-13.
- 26. Hong CS, Jeong O, Piao Z et al. HOXB5 induces invasion and migration through direct transcriptional upregulation of β -catenin in human gastric carcinoma. Biochem J 2015;472:393-403.
- 27. Wei Zhou LZ, Enwei Xu. HOXD10 acts as a tumor suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Med 2017;10:7636-46.
- 28. Wang L, Chen S, Xue M et al. Homeobox D10 gene, a candidate tumor suppressor, is downregulated through promoter hypermethylation and associated with gastric carcinogenesis. Mol Med 2012;18:389-400.
- 29. Hakami F, Darda L, Stafford P, Woll P, Lambert DW, Hunter KD. The roles of HOXD10 in the development and progression of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Br J Cancer 2014;111:807-16.