Immunohistochemical analysis of prostate-specific antigen in female breast cancer

S. Ilvan¹, V. Celik², I. Cetinaslan¹, Z. Calay¹, M. Ferahman²

Departments of ¹Pathology and ²Surgery, Istanbul University, Cerrahpasa School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Summary

Purpose: Prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a glycoprotein initially thought to be produced only by the epithelial cells of the prostate, has recently been found in various tissues and tumors. It has been suggested that the expression of PSA in breast cancer is a good prognostic indicator and correlated with favorable prognosis. However, in recent years opposite results have been reported. In this study, we investigated the immunoreactivity of PSA in female breast cancer to find out any relationship between PSA and prognostic parameters.

Patients and methods: Sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples from 109 invasive ductal carcinomas were immunostained for PSA. The staining results were

Introduction

PSA is a valuable tumor marker used for diagnosis and overall management of prostate cancer [1]. Until recently PSA was seen as a highly specific biochemical marker of prostatic epithelial cells, and in practice its detection by immunohistochemistry has been widely used in determining the prostatic origin of metastatic cancer [2]. However, in recent years, numerous studies have demonstrated the production

Received 08-04-2004; Accepted 25-04-2004

Author and address for correspondence:

Dr. Sennur Ilvan Istanbul University Cerrahpasa School of Medicine Department of Pathology 34303, Istanbul Turkey Tel: +90 216 336 83 90 Fax: +90 216 327 85 13 E-mail: sennurilvan@hotmail.com analyzed in relation to age, tumor size, histologic grade, axillary lymph node status and steroid receptors.

Results: PSA immunoreactivity was seen in only 11 (10.1%) out of 109 cases. All PSA positive cases were also estrogen (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) positive. We found a statistically significant correlation between PSA and the expression of steroid receptors, while no correlation was detected with the other factors.

Conclusion: The detection of PSA, using immunohistochemistry, does not seem to be a reliable prognostic criterion for female breast cancer patients or a marker of tumor origin.

Key words: breast cancer, immunohistochemistry, prognostic factors, prostate-specific antigen

of PSA in various non-prostatic normal tissues such as the parotid gland, kidney, pancreas, breast and in their malignant counterparts as well [3-8].

PSA is a serine protease controlled by one of the three members in the human glandular kallikrein gene family. The other two are tissue kallikrein and human glandular kallikrein-1 [9]. There are about 60% and 80% sequence homology between PSA and these two serine proteases, respectively [10-12]. The high levels of homology may explain the positive immunohistochemical reactions for PSA in non-prostatic tissues.

The presence of PSA has been shown in normal, hyperplastic and neoplastic breast tissues [6]. A number of reports concerning PSA positivity in breast cancer tissues proposed its utility as a prognostic marker for breast cancer [13-15]. It has been suggested that the production of PSA in breast tumors is regulated by steroid receptors [16] and associated with favorable prognosis [13, 14]. However, recent studies using breast cancer patients' serum, tumor cytosolic extracts and paraffin-embedded breast cancer tissues, have not revealed any prognostic significance of PSA in breast cancer [17-19].

In this study, we investigated the immunoreactivity of PSA in female breast cancer tissues to find out if there was any relationship between PSA and some well-known prognostic parameters of breast cancer.

Patients and methods

One hundred and nine cases of invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast from female patients were enrolled in this study. The histologic grading was done according to the modified Bloom-Richardson method [20]. The status of ER and PR, determined by immunohistochemistry, was obtained from pathology reports. We prepared 3 µm-thick sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue samples and performed immunohistochemical staining using monoclonal PSA antibody. The sections were mounted on poly-L-lysine-coated slides, deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated in graded series of ethyl alcohol and blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 15 min. For antigen retrieval, they were immersed in citrate-phosphate buffer, and microwaved at 100° C for 15 min. The sections were cooled to room temperature and then incubated with monoclonal antibody against PSA (Dako, USA; prediluted). After washing with phosphate buffer saline, the secondary antibodies were applied. AEC (3-amino ethylcarbazole) was used as chromogen. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. Normal prostatic tissue was used for positive control. The immunohistochemical examination was performed by two pathologists independently without any information about the patients. The intensity of positive immunostaining in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells was graded into 3 categories (weak, moderate, strong). The expression of PSA was compared with clinical and histological features including age, tumor size, axillary lymph node status, histologic grade, and ER and PR status. The strength of the associations was appraised by using chi-square and Fischer's exact tests. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The age range of the patients was 30-72 years (median 56 years). Thirty-six out of the 109 cases were less than 50 years old. The size of the tumors varied from 1.2 to 3.5 cm in diameter (median 2.4



Figure 1. Strong cytoplasmic immunostaining for PSA in tumor cells (×200).

cm). Histologically, all of the tumors were invasive ductal carcinomas. Nineteen of the tumors were grade I, 60 grade II, and 30 grade III. In 62 cases axillary lymph node metastases were present. According to the pathology reports, 63 cases were positive for ER and 61 were positive for PR. Positive tumor staining with anti-PSA antibody was seen in 11 (10.1%) cases. In these cases, the percentage of positively stained cells was greater than 10%. The intensity of the immunoreactivity was weak in 3 cases, moderate in 6 cases and strong in 2 cases (Figure 1). All these PSA positive cases were also ER and PR-positive. The relation between PSA expression and clinicopathological parameters are shown in Table 1. In another 3

Table 1. Associations between PSA status and other variables

Variable	No. of patients		
		PSA negative	p-value
Age (years)			
< 50	4	32	1.00
≥ 50	7	66	
Tumor size (cm)			
< 2	3	35	0.744
≥ 2	8	63	
Histologic grade			
I	3	16	0.148
II	3	57	
III	5	25	
Nodal status			
negative	3	44	0.345
positive	8	54	
ER status			
negative	0	46	0.002
positive	11	52	
PR status			
negative	0	48	0.002
positive	11	50	

cases, normal breast tissue surrounding cancerous tissue showed positive staining, while the tumor itself was PSA-negative.

A statistically significant association with PSA and the expression of steroid receptors was found, whereas the other parameters showed no association with PSA positivity.

Discussion

It is now accepted that PSA is not a tissue-specific protein, so the term "prostate-specific antigen" is a misnomer. Recently, PSA has been found in various female hormonally regulated tissues, principally the breast and its secretions [6, 21]. The production of PSA in breast tissue is regulated by androgens and progestins at the level of transcription. The effect of ER in this event is indirect to the known association between ER and PR [16]. An experimental study showed that tumors stimulated with a progestin produce significantly more PSA than nonstimulated tumors [22]. The association between steroid hormone receptors and PSA production was also demonstrated in vivo in breast tissue. Breast cytosolic extracts from women receiving progestin-containing oral contraceptives had considerably more PSA immunoreactivity [23]. These findings confirmed the hormonal dependency of PSA production in breast cancer. Therefore, the majority of PSA-producing breast tumors are steroid hormone receptor-positive; however, not all steroid hormone receptor-positive tumors produce PSA. Yu et al. [24] revealed that PSA immunoreactivity in ER/ PR positive tumors was 35%, while it was 14% in ER/PR negative tumors. In our study, all of PSA positive tumors were also found to be ER and PR positive.

The physiological role of PSA in breast tumors remains undetermined, but there is a hypothesis suggesting that PSA may be involved in a regulatory pathway of insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) with strong mitogenic effects. PSA is an insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFB-3) protease. Degradation of IGFB-3 results in an increase in serum IGFs, which are known mitogens, and decrease in IGFB-3, a potential apoptosis mediator. It has been also demonstrated that PSA degrades the extracellular matrix, facilitates local invasion and stimulate cell detachment, suggesting a role for PSA in tumor progression or metastasis [21]. On the contrary, Fortier et al. [25] suggested that PSA may function in tumors as an endogenous antiangiogenic protein. Such a function and the association of PSA with steroid receptors in some cases might explain, at least in part, its favorable value reported in breast cancer.

In breast cancers, the percentage of PSA positivity detected in both cytosolic extracts and paraffinembedded tissues ranges from 9 to 70% [14, 19, 24, 26]. In our study, PSA positivity was detected in only 11 (10.1%) of 109 cases by using immunohistochemical staining in paraffin-embedded tissues. These different values may result from the different methods used. Howarth et al. [27] did not find any clear correlation between immunohistochemical results of PSA staining on paraffin sections and immunofluorometric PSA analysis in breast tumor cytosols, although there was a trend towards association of high immunofluorometric PSA levels with positive immunohistochemical PSA staining. The different PSA expressions, obtained from immunohistochemical staining on paraffin-embedded breast tumor sections, may result from the use polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies. Miller et al. [26] used monoclonal antibody as in our study, and observed PSA positivity in only 9% of breast cancers. This percentage is rather close to ours (10.1%), but lower than in the studies using polyclonal antibodies [28].

In numerous studies, PSA positivity in breast tumors has been found to be significantly associated with smaller tumors, steroid hormone receptor positivity, lower stage, younger age, differentiated tumor type, low tumor grade, low S-phase fraction, and longer overall survival [13,14,24,27]. But some authors could not detect any association between PSA immunoreactivity and prognostic parameters [19,29]. We only showed a statistically significant correlation between PSA expression and the ER/PR positivity, whereas, age, tumor size, histologic grade and axillary lymph node status were not found to be associated with PSA positivity.

Several authors have demonstrated that the patients with PSA positive breast tumors have better prognosis compared to those with PSA negative ones [13,14,30]. In addition, some studies have suggested that PSA is an independent favorable prognostic parameter for breast cancer [13,14]. In contrast with these findings, some authors using patients' serum, tumor cytosolic extracts or paraffin-embedded tissues reported no prognostic significance of PSA [17-19]. Moreover, PSA was found as an independent variable of poor prognosis by Foekens et al. [18], which is the largest relevant study so far.

In conclusion, due to the large variations of PSA expression and the contradictory results concerning its prognostic significance, this marker cannot be used reliably in clinical practice for female breast cancer patients at the moment. In addition, it is important to keep in mind that there are a variety of normal tissues and neoplasms, which may express PSA and therefore, a definitive diagnosis of metastasis from a prostatic adenocarcinoma cannot be based only on immunohistochemical labelling of PSA.

References

- Partin AW, Oesterling JE. The clinical usefulness of prostate specific antigen: update 1994. J Urol 1994; 152: 1358-1368.
- Tell DT, Khoury JM, Taylor HG, Veasey SP. Atypical metastasis from prostate cancer. Clinical utility of the immunoperoxidase technique for prostate-specific antigen. JAMA 1985; 253: 3574-3575.
- Nowels K, Kent E, Rinsho K, Oyasu R. Prostate specific antigen and acid phosphatase-reactive cells in cystitis cystica and glandularis. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1988; 112: 734-737.
- Papotti M, Paties C, Peveri V, Moscuzza L, Bussolati G. Immunocytochemical detection of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in skin adnexal and breast tissues and tumors. Basic Appl Histochem 1989; 33: 25-29.
- van Krieken JH. Prostate marker immunoreactivity in salivary gland neoplasms. A rare pitfall in immunohistochemistry. Am J Surg Pathol 1993; 17: 410-414.
- Yu H, Diamandis EP, Levesque M et al. Prostate specific antigen in breast cancer, benign breast disease and normal breast tissue. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1996; 40: 171-178.
- Alanen KA, Kuopio T, Koskinen PJ, Nevalainen TJ. Immunohistochemical labelling for prostate specific antigen in nonprostatic tissues. Path Res Pract 1996; 192: 233-237.
- Levesque M, Yu H, D'Costa M, Tadross L, Diamandis EP. Immunoreactive prostate specific-antigen in lung tumors. J Clin Lab Anal 1995; 9: 375-379.
- Henttu P, Vihko P. Prostate-specific antigen and human glandular kallikrein: two kallikreins of the human prostate. Ann Med 1994; 26: 157-164.
- Watt KW, Lee PJ, M'Timkulu T, Chan WP, Loor R. Human prostate-specific antigen: structural and functional similarity with serine proteases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1986; 83: 3166-3170.
- McDonald RJ, Margolius HS, Erdos EG. Molecular biology of tissue kallikrein. Biochem J 1988; 253: 313-321.
- Schaller J, Akiyama K, Tsuda R, Hara M, Marti T, Rickli EE. Isolation, characterization and amino-acid sequence of gamma-seminoprotein, a glycoprotein from human seminal plasma. Eur J Biochem 1987; 170: 111-120.
- 13. Yu H, Giai M, Diamandis EP et al. Prostate-specific antigen is a new favorable prognostic indicator for women with breast cancer. Cancer Res 1995; 55: 2104-2110.
- Yu H, Levesque MA, Clark GM, Diamandis EP. Prognostic value of prostate-specific antigen for women with breast cancer: A large United States cohort study. Clin Cancer Res 1998; 4: 1489-1497.

- Diamandis EP, Yu H, Sutherland DJ. Detection of prostate-specific antigen immunoreactivity in breast tumors. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1994; 32: 301-310.
- Yu H, Diamandis EP, Zarghami N, Grass L. Induction of prostate specific antigen production by steroids and tamoxifen in breast cancer cell lines. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1994; 32: 291-300.
- Romppanen J, Keskikuru R, Kataja V et al. Measurement of prostate-specific antigen in the detection of benign or malignant breast disease in women. Br J Cancer 1999; 79: 1583-1587.
- Foekens JA, Diamandis EP, Yu H et al. Expression of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) correlates with poor response to tamoxifen therapy in recurrent breast cancer. Br J Cancer 1999; 79: 888-894.
- Heyl W, Wolff JM, Biesterfeld S et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of prostate-specific antigen does not correlate to other prognostic factors in breast cancer. Anticancer Res 1999; 19: 2563-2566.
- Elston CW, Ellis IO. Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. Histopathol 1991; 19: 403-410.
- Black MH, Diamandis EP. The diagnostic and prognostic utility of prostate-specific antigen for diseases of the breast. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2000; 59: 1-14.
- Kogan I, Ballinger JR, Redshaw R et al. Prostate-specific antigen induction by a steroid hormone in T47D cells growing in SCID mice. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1998; 48: 73-80.
- Yu H, Diamandis EP, Monne M, Croce CM. Oral contraceptive-induced expression of prostate-specific antigen in the female breast. J Biol Chem 1995; 270: 6615-6618.
- Yu H, Diamandis EP, Sutherland DJ. Immunoreactive prostate-specific antigen levels in female and male breast tumors and its association with steroid hormone receptors and patient age. Clin Biochem 1994; 27: 75-79.
- Fortier AH, Nelson BJ, Grella DK, Holaday JW. Antiangiogenic activity of prostate-specific antigen. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999; 91: 1635-1640.
- Miller MK, Unger PD, Bleiweiss IJ. Immunohistochemical analysis of prostate specific antigen in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001; 68: 111-116.
- Howarth DJ, Aronson IB, Diamandis EP. Immunohistochemical localization of prostate-specific antigen in benign and malignant breast tissues. Br J Cancer 1997; 75: 1646-1651.
- Alanen KA, Kuopio T, Collan YU, Kronqvist P, Juntti L, Nevalainen TJ. Immunohistochemical labelling for prostate-specific antigen in breast carcinomas Breast Cancer Res Treat 1999; 56: 169-176.
- Hall RE, Clements JA, Birrell SN, Tilley WD. Prostatespecific antigen and gross cystic disease fluid protein-15 are coexpressed in androgen receptor-positive breast tumours. Br J Cancer 1998; 78: 360-365.
- Griniatsos J, Diamantis E, Gioti J, Karyda I, Vassilopoulos PP, Agnanti N. Correlation of prostate specific antigen immunoreactivity (IR-PSA) to other prognostic factors in female breast cancer. Anticancer Res 1998; 18: 683-688.